AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	Journal: OCEMOD	Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to:
ELSEVIER	Article Number: 958	E-mail: corrections.esch@elsevier.sps.co.in

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult <u>http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.</u>

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: <u>click on the Q link to go</u> Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof		
<u>Q1</u>	Your article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact b.chakraborty@elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.		
<u>Q2</u>	Please confirm that given name(s) and surname(s) have been identified correctly.		
<u>Q3</u>	Please check whether the designated corresponding author is correct, and amend if necessary.		
<u>Q4</u>	The number of keywords provided exceeds the maximum allowed by this journal. Please delete 8 aeywords.		
<u>Q5</u>	One or more sponsor names may have been edited to a standard format that enables better searching and identification of your article. Please check and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q6</u>	The country names of the Grant Sponsors are provided below. Please check and correct if necessary. Office of Naval Research' - 'United States'.		
<u>Q7</u>	Please update the following reference: "Lermusiaux et al. (in press) and Ueckermann and Lermusiaux (submitted for publication)".		
	Please check this box if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file		

OCEMOD 958

ARTICLE IN PRESS

10 March 2015

Highlights

• Derived methodology for dynamically consistent PE initialization in complex geometry. • New constrained least-squares optimizations, solved directly w/Euler-Lagrange eqs. • Applied methodology in 3 regions, illustrating varied forms of weak constraints. • Our optimization corrects transports, satisfies BCs and correctly redirects currents.

Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ocean Modelling

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ocemod

OCEAN MODELLING Big / Journ Rr. man / Action / commo

ptimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos

2 Patrick J. Haley, Jr. *, Arpit Agarwal, Pierre F.J. Lermusiaux,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: A Received 10 November 2013 Received in revised form 5 February 2015

16 Accepted 22 February 2015

17 Available online xxxx

5 6

12

18 Keywords: 19 Field mapping 20 Least squares 21 Weak constraints 22 Fast Marching 23 Downscaling 24 Two-way nesting 25 Primitive-equation 26 27 Free-surface

Reduced-dynamics

Multiply-connected

Complex domain

Multiscale

Islands

ABSTRACT

We derive and apply a methodology for the initialization of velocity and transport fields in complex multiply-connected regions with multiscale dynamics. The result is initial fields that are consistent with observations, complex geometry and dynamics, and that can simulate the evolution of ocean processes without large spurious initial transients. A class of constrained weighted least squares optimizations is defined to best fit first-guess velocities while satisfying the complex bathymetry, coastline and divergence strong constraints. A weak constraint towards the minimum inter-island transports that are in accord with the first-guess velocities provides important velocity corrections in complex archipelagos. In the optimization weights, the minimum distance and vertical area between pairs of coasts are computed using a Fast Marching Method. Additional information on velocity and transports are included as strong or weak constraints. We apply our methodology around the Hawaiian islands of Kauai/Niihau, in the Taiwan/Kuroshio region and in the Philippines Archipelago. Comparisons with other common initialization strategies, among hindcasts from these initial conditions (ICs), and with independent in situ observations show that our optimization corrects transports, satisfies boundary conditions and redirects currents. Differences between the hindcasts from these different ICs are found to grow for at least 2-3 weeks. When compared to independent in situ observations, simulations from our optimized ICs are shown to have the smallest errors.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

51 52

53

71

72

73

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Q4 54

28

29

30

31

32

33

55

1. Introduction

56 Imagine that the Lorenz-63 system (Lorenz, 1963) was repre-57 sentative of the real ocean. Imagine that your goal was to initialize 58 a useful prediction for this system, from imperfect measurements. 59 By useful prediction, we mean the capability of predicting for some 60 time, in the ideal case up to the local predictability limit (initialcondition-dependent). If you knew that the initial state was not 61 62 zero, why would you spin-up from zero? If one of the state variables was measured initially, but with uncertainty, someone may 63 guess an initial condition by running the Lorenz model for some 64 time, keeping the measured state variable fixed. Unless that person 65 is so lucky to stop at the right time, the likelihood of the result 66 being close to the true initial condition is very small. Hence, being 67 68 on the "attractor" of the model is not enough. What we need is to 69 be in a neighborhood of the true initial state, such that if we start a prediction from that state, some predictive capability exists. We 70

* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* phaley@mit.edu (P.J. Haley Jr.), arpit@mit.edu (A. Agarwal), pierrel@mit.edu (P.F.J. Lermusiaux).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005 1463-5003/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. remark that in that case, the subsequent assimilation of limited data will also have a much easier time at controlling error growth. And second, if the model was imperfect, running the model for too long in the initial adjustment may also lead to large errors. The present manuscript is concerned with such estimation of initial ocean conditions, focusing on regions with complex geometries and multiscale dynamics governed by hydrostatic primitive equations (PEs) (e.g. Cushman-Roisin and Beckers, 2010) with a free ocean surface, referred to next simply as free-surface PEs (e.g. Haley and Lermusiaux, 2010, hereafter denoted as HL10).

The estimation of initial conditions (ICs) for ocean simulations is not a new problem (Wunsch, 1996). For longer time-scale prediction (e.g. climatological studies) the use of spin-up from rest to initialize simulations has been frequent (Artale et al., 2010; Maslowski et al., 2004; Schiller et al., 2008; Timmermann et al., 2005; Zhang and Steele, 2007) in part because of lack of data for initialization. Even for shorter time-scale predictions with more synoptic information, spin-up from rest is still often used. However, studies show that using ICs which are not in dynamical balance (e.g. the zero velocities at the start of the spin-up from rest) can lead to numerical shock (Oke et al., 2002) and erroneous

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167 168

170

171

172

173

2

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

92 dynamics (Robinson, 1996, 1999; Lozano et al., 1996; Beşiktepe 93 et al., 2003). Some variations on the spin-up procedure have been 94 used to control shocks, including: multi-stage spin-up schemes 95 (Cazes-Boezio et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2009); spin-up with data 96 assimilation (Balmaseda et al., 2008; Balmaseda and Anderson, 97 2009; Bender and Ginis, 2000; Cazes-Boezio et al., 2008); and 98 spin-up with relaxation to a reference field (Halliwell et al., 2008; Sandery et al., 2011). Other methods to incorporate more 99 100 synoptic scales and dynamics into the initial fields include feature models (FM; Gangopadhyay et al., 2003, 2011, 2013; Schmidt and 101 Gangopadhyay, 2013; Falkovich et al., 2005; Yablonsky and Ginis, 102 103 2008) and downscaling (Pinardi et al., 2003; Barth et al., 2008; Mason et al., 2010; Halliwell et al., 2011; Herzfeld and 104 Andrewartha, 2012). Studies of ocean responses to atmospheric 105 106 forcing also highlighted the need of incorporating synoptic scales 107 and dynamics from the beginning (Falkovich et al., 2005; 108 Halliwell et al., 2008, 2011). Here we incorporate the synoptic 109 scales and dynamics by creating dynamically balanced initializa-110 tions for multiply-connected domains.

Our approach is to efficiently estimate three-dimensional (3D) 111 112 initial velocity fields that are consistent with the synoptic observa-113 tions available, complex geometry, free-surface PEs and any other relevant information by defining and semi-analytically solving a 114 global constrained optimization problem. By consistent initial 115 velocity fields, we signify fields that would evolve in accord with 116 117 the free-surface PE dynamics in the complex region, simulating 118 the evolution of these ocean processes without spurious initial transients. By "semi-analytically", we mean that we analytically 119 derive the Euler-Lagrange equations that optimize the cost func-120 121 tion and then solve these equations numerically. Our approach is 122 in contrast with procedures that attempt to build flows from scratch solely through model dynamical adjustment, i.e. through 123 124 time-integration of a numerical model. However, our aim is not 125 to replace the estimation of ICs by weak- or strong- constraint gen-126 eralized inversions over time (Bennett, 1992; Bennett, 2002; 127 Moore, 1991; Moore et al., 2004, 2011). Instead, it is to compute 128 consistent ICs. They can then lead to useful predictions or be 129 employed as starting conditions in a generalized inversion.

130 Some key technical questions arise due to the complex geome-131 tries and multiscale flows. They include: how to account for multi-132 ple islands, tortuous coastlines and variable bathymetries, respecting boundary conditions? how to compute the minimum 133 vertical ocean area between islands? how to utilize these areas 134 135 to set through-flows or local currents within (or near) expected values? how to optimize the kinetic energy locally, eliminating 136 137 unrealistic hot-spots? how to ensure conservative 3D flow fields 138 that satisfy continuity constraints with a free ocean surface? and 139 finally, how to respect a sufficiently accurate internal dynamics 140 in accord with the observations available and the scales being 141 modeled? To address such questions, we introduce a subtidal/tidal 142 separation of velocities and obtain first-guess subtidal velocity 143 fields from reduced dynamics and hydrographic and flow data. Our optimization then best-fits these first-guess subtidal velocity 144 fields, enforcing tortuous coastline, bathymetry and divergence 145 146 strong constraints. To enforce all of these constraints, cost functions are defined and Euler-Lagrange equations that optimize the-147 148 se cost functions are derived and numerically solved. Novel elements of this methodology include: the incorporation of 149 weighting functions in the cost functions; derivation of the optimal 150 151 Dirichlet open boundary conditions (OBCs); and the optimization of the inter-island transports and near island flows, which provides 152 153 important velocity corrections in complex archipelagos. To set the 154 weights for the horizontal streamfunctions along island coastlines, 155 the minimum distance and vertical area between pairs of islands 156 are computed using a Fast Marching Method (FMM; Sethian,

1996, 1999). The use of all available information to optimally estimate the inter-island transports makes our methodology a generalization of the "island rule" (Godfrey, 1989). Our methodology can also incorporate estimates from the "island rule" as weak constraints.

Problem statement and rationale. Mathematically, denoting the PE state variable fields as: temperature T; salinity S; horizontal and vertical components of velocity \vec{u} and w; and free-surface elevation η , our objective is to: (i) obtain initial fields that optimize a constrained cost function I in a complex domain, \mathcal{D} , with boundary ∂D (open boundaries and coastlines) i.e.,

arg min *I*(data, complex geometry, dynamics) in $\mathcal{D} \cup \partial \mathcal{D}$; [*ū*.*w*.*n*.*T*.*S*]

but also (ii) determine such a cost function I and corresponding direct solution scheme that will efficiently compute consistent initial velocity fields.

Of course, there are uncertainties even in the form of the cost 174 function, the constraints and their parameters (Lermusiaux, 175 2007). We thus seek to respect the synoptic data, complex geome-176 try, scales and dynamics (or representative reduced dynamics) 177 only within uncertainties. In other words, the objective is to derive 178 an efficient scheme that computes ICs close enough to the ocean 179 state at the initial time, so as to subsequently evolve without spu-180 rious transients due to complex bathymetry and islands (ge-181 ometry), and also without the possible assimilation shocks. As a 182 result, we aim to avoid creating initial velocities solely via a model 183 "dynamical adjustment" from too inaccurate first-guesses (e.g. 184 either too large or too small velocities, as in the extreme case of 185 a model "spin-up" from zero velocities). To illustrate issues with 186 such adjustments, consider first the case where T/S remain fixed 187 while \vec{u} , w and η are adjusted from a too inaccurate first-guess. 188 Model errors (discretization and other error modes) can grow in 189 the velocity fields during the adjustment. Also, due to nonlinear 190 terms in the free-surface PEs, even if the T/S fields are perfect, 191 the velocity adjustment may either not converge or converge but 192 not towards the true velocity everywhere in the complex domain. 193 Second, if a first-guess velocity far from the truth is instead adjust-194 ed by allowing T and S to vary during the adjustment, then poten-195 tial energy and kinetic energy would be inter-changed. The 196 resulting adjusted density and velocity fields would differ from 197 the true ones, e.g. be in a different energy balance or "attractor 198 regime" than the real one. Critically, such adjusted fields retain 199 some memory of the too erroneous first-guess velocity. Model pre-200 dictions from these fields would then be damaged for some time. 201 All of these considerations due to complex geometries are exempli-202 fied in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Only data assimilation (DA), i.e. re-ini-203 tialization, could correct these biases. 204

In what follows, we present our methodology for ICs in complex 205 domains (Section 2). In Section 3, we derive the core algorithms to 206 optimally fit velocities and transports (Section 3.1) and to optimize 207 them between and near islands (Section 3.2). In Section 4, we apply 208 our methodology around the Hawaiian islands of Kauai/Niihau 209 (Section 4.1), in the Taiwan/Kuroshio region (Section 4.2) and in 210 the Philippines Archipelago (Section 4.3). Quantitative compar-211 isons (i) with other commonly-used initialization strategies, (ii) 212 among hindcasts from these ICs and (iii) with independent in situ 213 observations, show that our complex-domain optimization cor-214 rects velocity estimates and incorporates critical constraints on 215 the net transports, all of which lead to more accurate forecasts in multiply-connected regions. These are coastal mesoscale examples but our methodology is applicable to other scales. A summary and conclusions are in Section 5. The free-surface PEs and our modeling system are outlined in Appendix A. Specifics of the methodology, including some details of the derivations, are in Appendices B–D.

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

3

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

222 2. Methodology: overall scheme

223 In this section we present a high-level description of our methodology for constructing PE-balanced initialization fields in 224 complex domains, including nesting and downscaling. The steps 225 are outlined in Sections 2.1-2.3 and summarized in Table 1. 226 227 Implicit in these steps is a separation of the subtidal and tidal 228 velocities/transports (Section 2.3). These steps provide the context 229 within which we derive our core algorithms of Section 3 for the 230 subtidal velocities/transports. These core algorithms solve a 231 weighted least squares optimization by obtaining the exact solutions to Euler-Lagrange equations for streamfunction formulations 232 233 of subtidal velocity/transport. The specific equations solved are: (i) a 1D Poisson equation along the external boundary for the Dirichlet 234 OBCs, (ii) algebraic equations for the constant values for the 235 236 streamfunction along the uncertain islands which optimize the 237 inter-island transports and near-island flows and (iii) a Poisson 238 equation for the streamfunction, using the BCs from (i) and (ii). Since we focus on velocity optimization, we omit a discussion on 239 input data, models, etc., which we provide in Haley et al., 2014. 240

241 2.1. First-guess velocity

We start by estimating first-guess velocity fields, $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ and $w_{(0)}$, that are in dynamical balance among each other and with the *T/S* fields, represent the specific scales of interest, and satisfy simple bathymetric constraints. These $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ and $w_{(0)}$ are the starting point for adding more complicated coastal, bathymetric and transport constraints. The subscript (*n*) represents the *n*th-correction of a quantity, hence $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ is the first guess velocity, $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ is the first correction velocity and so on.

Reduced-dynamics models are often used in conjunction with mapped T/S fields as the starting point for constructing $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ and

 $w_{(0)}$. A commonly used reduced model is geostrophy, specifically integrating the thermal wind equations (Wunsch, 1996; Marshall and Plumb, 2008; Haley et al., 2014). The $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ and $w_{(0)}$ can also combine: additional dynamics (e.g. Ekman dynamics and other boundary layers); velocity feature models and data (*in situ* and remote). When available, prior knowledge of the flow (e.g. net transports, velocity values or throughflow range) should be used to constrain estimates. All of these combinations should properly account for the uncertainties in the data and estimates. Examples are shown in Section 4.

One can use the velocity fields from existing numerical simulations (often at coarser resolutions). We treat these as first-guess velocities because they usually do not fit all of our dynamics, scales and resolution. One simple constraint we directly impose on $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ is to set the velocities to zero under the model bathymetry (this can require care, see Haley et al., 2014).

2.2. Complex geometry constraints

The first guess velocities $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ do not respect all model geometry constraints nor the bottom-related dynamics. Geostrophic velocities rarely satisfy no-normal flow through coastlines and bottom balances. Velocities obtained from other simulations are in balance with their own bathymetry and coasts, which, in our applications, are usually of coarser resolution. Reduced dynamics models and feature models may or may not take either bathymetry or coasts into account. Therefore the next step in our scheme is to adjust the first guess velocities to the modeled bathymetry and coasts.

Coastal <u>constraints</u>. We first discuss imposing constraints on $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ defined on constant-depth levels (which can then be interpolated to other vertical coordinates). No-normal flow into coasts is imposed on levels which reach the coasts in water and on any

Table 1

242

243

244

245

246

47

J₄₈

249

250

251

Summary of the six steps of our scheme to initialize velocity and transport for PE simulations in complex geometries (multiply-connected domains). Table is presented in the order the operations are performed. Repeat steps 1–6 for nested sub-domains.

(1) Input data and models for computing velocity	
(2) (Section 2.1) Compute first-guess velocity $\vec{u}_{(0)}$	
Use data and reduced models to estimate velocity	e.g. thermal wind
• Enforce direct bathymetry strong constraints, e.g. zero flow below bathymetry, compute consistent $\vec{u}_{(0)}$	
(3) (Section 2.2) Geometry constraints: Best-fit $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ level-by-level, enforcing coastline strong constraints	
Best fit 3D velocities, enforcing no-normal flow through coastlines.	
 Propagate interior data to uncertain BCs (island-free) 	Table 2a, Eq. (11)in Section 3.1
 Best fit external BCs (interpolate for nesting)(island-free) 	Table 2a, Eq. (10) in Section 3.1
$_{\circ}$ Best fit internal island BCs, solving weak-constraint optimization	Table 2a, Eqs. (12) and (15) in Section 3.2
 Combine all BCs and best-fit no-normal flow velocity 	Table 2a, Eqs. (5) and (16) in Section 3.1
	$\vec{u}_{(1)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi$ Eq. (4)
• To retain 3D effects or more complex bathymetry constraints, solve for corrector velocity	Appendix B
	$\vec{u}_{(2)} = \vec{u}_{(1)} + \nabla \phi$ Eq. (B.16)
• Compute first-guess sub-tidal transports from the resultant geometry-constrained velocity.	$\vec{U}_{(0)} = \begin{cases} \int_{-H}^{0} \vec{u}_{(2)} dz & \text{if 3D constraints} \\ \int_{-H}^{0} \vec{u}_{(1)} dz & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ Eq. (1)}$
(4) (Section 2.3) Sub-tidal transport strong constraints: best-fit transport in (complex)-domain, enforcing non-divergence	
• Best fit non-divergent transport to $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ obtained in Section 2.2 and other transport data	
 Propagate interior data to uncertain BCs (island-free) 	Table 2b, Eq. (1)in Section 3.1
 Best fit external BCs (interpolate for nesting)(island-free) 	Table 2b, Eq. (10) in Section 3.1
 Best fit internal island BCs, solving weak-constraint optimization 	Tables 2b, Eqs. (12) and (15) in Section 3.2
 Combine all BCs and best-fit non-divergent transport preserving no-normal flow 	Table 2b, Eqs. (5) and (16) in Section 3.1 $H\vec{U}_{(1)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \Psi$
	Eq. (3)
(5) (Section C.1) Solve for sub-tidal free surface $\eta_{(0)}$	e.g., $\eta_{(0)}$ from HL10 Eq. (68)
	$\vec{U}_{(2)} = \frac{H}{H + \eta_{(0)}} \vec{U}_{(1)}$ Eq. (C.1)
(6) (Section C.2) Superimpose tides η_{tide} and \vec{U}_{tide} , preserving divergence and no-normal flow strong	$\eta_{(1)} = \eta_{(0)} + \eta_{tide}$ Eq. (C.2)
constraints	$\vec{U}_{(3)}$ from Eq. (C.3)
	$\vec{u'}$ from Eq. (C.4)
	$\vec{u} = \vec{u}' + \vec{U}_{(2)}$ Eq. (C.5)
	$w = \int_{-\infty}^{2} \nabla v \vec{u} dr (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla H) = Fo(C6)$
	$w = -\int_{-H} v \cdot u u \zeta - (u \cdot v H) _{z=-H} Eq. (C.0)$

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

additional levels used in subsequent interpolations. For all levels below these, no additional constraints are enforced.

The method to enforce no-normal flow into coastlines employs a constrained least squares minimization to find the first correction velocity, $\vec{u}_{(1)}$, which at all depths/levels best fits the first-guess, $\vec{u}_{(0)}$, while satisfying $\vec{u}_{(1)} \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial D} = 0$. This optimum is obtained by solving 2D elliptical problems exactly in one iteration. The algorithm is derived later in Sections 3 to allow for a unified presentation of both the flow and transport constraints.

For terrain-following vertical coordinates, the no-normal flow constraint is imposed on velocities at constant-depth levels and the results are interpolated to terrain-following. For isopycnal or generalized coordinates (HL10), the situation is similar to the constant-depth vertical coordinates and the optimization is applied for layers/levels reaching the coasts.

Below the levels where we impose no-normal flow into coasts, we could use the above optimization to force the very bottom flows to be aligned with isobaths. However, this is only done when we have strong physical evidence for such isobaths-aligned bottom flows (see Haley et al., 2014).

302 3D effects and more complicated bathymetry constraints. When 303 the full 3D flow dynamics is critical, we update the algorithm out-304 lined above into a 3D (x,y,z) best fit. One example is the initializa-305 tion from an existing numerical simulation (i.e. downscaling). 306 These fields are in their own 3D dynamical balance and are 307 assumed to be sufficiently resolved to contain a useful $w_{(0)}$ at the new, refined, resolution. The goal is then to maintain as much of 308 this 3D balance as is consistent with the model being initialized. 309 Other examples (see Haley et al., 2014) involve the use of 3D fea-310 311 ture models or reduced 3D dynamics (e.g. geostrophy and Ekman 312 forcing). In Appendix B, we derive a predictor-corrector algorithm 313 for fitting the no-normal flow constraints in 3D, including vertical 314 velocity w information. The result of this algorithm is the second correction velocity, $\vec{u}_{(2)} = \vec{u}_{(1)} + \Delta \vec{u}$, that recovers the first guess 315 vertical velocity by imposing the constraint $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_{(2)} \approx -\frac{\partial w_{(0)}}{\partial z}$, where 316 317 ∇ is the horizontal divergence operator. Without this correction, 318 the streamfunction formulation loses the information on *w*.

First-guess sub-tidal transport. Once the geometry-constrained $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ (or $\vec{u}_{(2)}$) is computed, it is used to obtain the first-guess transport, $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$, from either

$$H\vec{U}_{(0)} = \begin{cases} \int_{-H}^{0} \vec{u}_{(2)} dz & \text{if 3D constraints (see Appendix B)} \\ \text{or } \int_{-H}^{0} \vec{u}_{(1)} dz & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

324

where \vec{U} is the local total-depth-averaged velocity and H(x, y) the local total depth of the water column. In Section 2.3 our optimization starts from $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ over \mathcal{D} and imposes additional (strong) transport constraints, leading to the first correction transport estimate, $H\vec{U}_{(1)}$ over \mathcal{D} .

330 2.3. Sub-tidal transport constraints

The final constraint on velocity in complex domains is applied on the divergence of the horizontal transport. From Eq. (A.7), this $\nabla \cdot (H\vec{U})$ is directly related to $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$. We consider separately the portions of the transport with significant contributions to $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$ and those with negligible contributions.

This rate $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$ is a function of both external processes (tides, evaporation – precipitation, rivers, open boundaries) and local processes (e.g. density driven flows). Generally only tides produce significant contributions to $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$ (i.e. barring floods and other catastrophic events, the remaining processes either have time scales which are too slow or amplitudes which are too small). 341 We compute the portions of the initial transport with negligible 342 contributions to $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$, i.e. the non-divergent sub-tidal transport, and 343 superimpose tidal elevations and transports from the tidal fields 344 that will force the simulation being initialized. The result is initial 345 and boundary transports with dynamically-balanced divergences. 346 During the construction of the transports, the constraint of no-nor-347 mal flow into the complex coastlines is re-imposed to ensure that 348 both it and the desired divergence are maintained in the final 349 solution. 350

A constrained optimization is employed to find the non-divergent sub-tidal transport, $H\vec{U}_{(1)}$, that best fits $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ subject to the constraints of no-normal flow at the complex coasts, i.e. $\vec{U} \cdot \hat{n}\Big|_{\partial D} = 0$, and of non-divergence, i.e. $\nabla \cdot \left(H\vec{U}_{(1)}\right) = 0$. This procedure, essentially the same as that for imposing no-normal flow on the velocities, ensures that the final 3D velocities will maintain no-normal flow into coasts and is derived in Section 3.

Free surface and tidal initialization. The final steps in the algorithm ensure the consistency amongst the initial transports, initial free surface and tidal forcing. This material was largely presented in HL10 and is summarized in Appendix C in the notation of the present manuscript.

3. Methodology: core algorithms

We now derive the core algorithms for our constrained opti-364 mization of the initial velocities and transports in complex 365 domains. Our semi-analytical methodology (summarized in 366 Table 2) starts by a global weighted optimization of the open 367 boundary values to the first guess and geometric and divergence 368 constraints, in the absence of islands. We employ these optimized 369 values and certain island conditions in a best fit of velocities and 370 transports (subject to the same constraints). From this solution, 371 we obtain initial estimates for minimum transports between each 372 island and all other coasts. With these estimates and the best-fit 373 OBC values, we solve our constrained weighted optimization of 374 the initial velocities and transports in the presence of islands. 375 Weighting functions are defined using uncertainty and physics 376 considerations. To obtain the exact solutions for these best fits, 377 we derive successive Euler-Lagrange equations for the interior, 378 boundary and island streamfunctions. This is done next for the case 379 of fitting transports, adding notes when needed for fitting 3D 380 velocities. 381

3.1. Core algorithm to optimize sub-tidal transports and velocities

The algorithm employs a least squares minimization to find the sub-tidal $H\vec{U}_{(1)}$ that best fits the first guess $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ (Eq. 1) under the geometric and divergence constraints with a specific focus on nonormal flow in complex geometries. To obtain the exact solutions for these optimizations, we derive (i) a Poisson equation (Eq. (5)) in \mathcal{D} for a streamfunction representation of the transport or velocity, i.e. Ψ for $H\vec{U}_{(1)}$ or ψ for $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ and (ii) a 1D Poisson equation (Eq. (10)) along the external boundary, $\partial \mathcal{D}^e$, for the Dirichlet OBCs, Ψ_{b^e} or ψ_{b^e} , which best fit the flow through the open boundaries. Specifically, the weighted least squares cost function, *J*, is defined as

$$J(H\vec{\tilde{U}}_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega \left\| H\vec{U}_{(0)} - H\vec{\tilde{U}}_{(1)} \right\|^2 da$$

subject to $\nabla \cdot (H\vec{\tilde{U}}_{(1)}) = 0$ (non-divergence), (2)

$$\left. \vec{\tilde{U}}_{(1)} \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = 0$$
 (no-normal flow into coasts)

396

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393 394

Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

(1)

11 March 2015 OCEMOD 958

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

сл

Table 2

(2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005 Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions Summary of algorithm (Section 3) for computing the: (a) 3D velocity (level-by-level \vec{u} and then w from Eq. (C.6)); and (b) transport. Both are optimized for domains with complex geometries including islands. Intermediate transports/ velocities can be computed from the intermediate streamfunctions, but are not needed for the algorithm. (a) Algorithm for 3D velocity Propagate interior data to boundaries (Eq. (11)) $\nabla \cdot \left(\omega \nabla \psi_{(-1)} \right) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega \vec{u}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$ • in 2nd BC, $\partial^2 \psi_{(-1)} / \partial n \partial t$ is a simple weak OBC, conserving the normal $\psi_{(-1)}\Big|_{C^{\text{test}}} = \psi_{C^{\text{test}}}$ and either $\nabla \psi_{(-1)} \cdot \hat{\vec{n}}\Big|_{an} = -\hat{\vec{k}} \times \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{\vec{n}}\Big|_{an}$ advective flux (locally maintained streamfunction). Other good choices are possible • (11) not needed for downscaling or "certain boundaries" or zero wt & weak OBC $\omega|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{0} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{(-1)}}{\partial n \partial t}\Big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{0}$ recompute : $\vec{u}_{(0)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \psi_{(-1)}$ Construct exterior BCs (optimize I_b , Eq. 10) using either original $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ or recomputed $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ $-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega \frac{\partial \psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) \quad \text{along open boundaries}$ above (for nesting, interpolate ψ_{b^e} from larger domain) $-\left(\omega\frac{\partial\psi_{b'}}{\partial s}\right)\Big|_{C_m^{e_m}}^{C_m^{e_m}} = (\omega\vec{u}_{(0)}\cdot\hat{n})\Big|_{C_m^{e_m}}^{C_m^{e_m}} \text{ at unknown coasts } \{C_m^e\}$ $\psi_{b^e}|_{C^{e^-}}^{C^{e^+}_m} = 0$ at unknown coasts $\{C_m^e\}$ $\psi_{b^e}\Big|_{C^e_{\iota}} = \psi_{C^e_{\iota}}$ at known coasts $\{C_k^e\}$ Construct "certain coast" solution (Eq. 12) using ψ_{h^e} from above $\nabla \cdot \left(\omega \nabla \psi_{(0)} \right) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega \vec{u}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$ $\psi_{(0)}\Big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}^c} = \psi_{b^c} \equiv \begin{cases} \psi_{b^e} & \text{if } s \in \partial \mathcal{D}^e \\ \psi_{C_k^{ic}} & \text{if } s \in C_k^{ic} \end{cases}$ Construct interior island BCs (optimize J_{b^u} , Eq. 15) using $\psi_{(0)}$ from above $\left[\sum_{m=n\atop m\neq n}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \varpi_{nm}^{\mathrm{uu}} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{\mathrm{c}}} \overline{\varpi}_{nk}^{\mathrm{uc}} + \overline{\varpi}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}\right] \psi_{C_{n}^{\mathrm{iu}}} - \sum_{m\neq n\atop m\neq n}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \overline{\varpi}_{nm}^{\mathrm{uu}} \psi_{C_{n}^{\mathrm{iu}}} - \sum_{m\neq n\atop m\neq n}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \overline{\varpi}_{nm}^{\mathrm{uu}} \psi_{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{\mathrm{c}}} \overline{\varpi}_{nk}^{\mathrm{uc}} \psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{\mathrm{uc}}) + \overline{\varpi}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}} \psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}) + \overline{\varepsilon}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}} \psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}) + \overline{\varepsilon}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}} \psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}) + \overline{\varepsilon}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}} \psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}) + \overline{\varepsilon}_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}} \psi_{$ Solve full problem (optimize *J*, Eqs. (5) and (16)) using ψ_{b^e} and $\psi_{C^{u}}$ from above $\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \psi) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega \vec{u}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$ $\psi|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \psi_b \equiv \begin{cases} \psi_{b^c} & \text{if } s \in \partial \mathcal{D}^e \\ \psi_{C_k^c} & \text{if } s \in C_k^{ic} \\ \psi_{C_n^{in}} & \text{if } s \in C_n^{ii} \end{cases}$ (b) Algorithm for transport Propagate interior data to boundaries (Eq. (11)) $\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \Psi_{(-1)}) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$ • in 2nd BC, $\partial^2 \Psi_{(-1)}/\partial n \partial t = 0$ is a simple weak OBC, conserving the normal advective flux $\Psi_{(-1)}|_{C^{1cst}} = \Psi_{C^{1cst}}$ (locally maintained streamfunction). Other good choices are possible and either • (11) not needed for downscaling or "certain boundaries" $\nabla \Psi_{(-1)} \cdot \hat{n} \big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = -\hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$ or zero wt & weak OBC $\omega|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{0} \ \& \ \frac{\partial HU \cdot \hat{n}}{\partial n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{(-1)}}{\partial n \partial t}\Big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{0}$ recompute : $H\vec{U}_{(0)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \Psi_{(-1)}$ $-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega \frac{\partial \Psi_{b^e}}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) \quad \text{along open boundaries}$ Construct exterior BCs (optimize J_b , Eq. 10) using either original $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ $-\left(\omega \frac{\partial \Psi_{y^e}}{\partial s}\right) \Big|_{C_m^e}^{C_m^e} = \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n}\right) \Big|_{C_m^e}^{C_m^e} \quad \text{at unknown coasts } \{C_m^e\}$ or recomputed $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ above (for nesting, interpolate Ψ_{h^e} from larger domain) $\Psi_{h^e}|_{C^{e-}}^{C^{e+}_m} = 0$ at unknown coasts $\{C_m^e\}$ $\Psi_{b^e}\Big|_{C_k^e}^m = \Psi_{C_k^e}$ at known coasts $\{C_k^e\}$ $\begin{array}{l} \nabla\cdot\left(\omega\nabla\Psi_{(0)}\right) = \left[\nabla\times\left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)}\right)\right]\cdot\hat{k} \\ \Psi_{(0)}\big|_{\partial\mathcal{D}^c} = \Psi_{b^c} \equiv \begin{cases} \Psi_{b^c} & \text{if } s \in \partial\mathcal{D}^e \\ \Psi_{C_k^{ic}} & \text{if } s \in C_k^{ic} \end{cases}$ Construct "certain coast" solution (Eq. 12) using Ψ_{b^e} from above and archipelagos. Ocean Construct interior island BCs (optimize J_{b^u} , Eq. 15) using $\Psi_{(0)}$ from above $\left[\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq n}}^{N^{u}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nk}^{uc} + \varpi_{nb}^{uo} \right] \Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}} - \sum_{m\neq n}^{N^{u}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{C_{m}^{iu}} = \sum_{m\neq n}^{N^{u}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} \Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nk}^{uc} \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc}) + \varpi_{nb}^{uo} \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo}) + \sum_{m\neq n}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} + \sum_{m\neq n}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nm}^{uc} \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uc}) + \sum_$
$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \Psi) &= \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \vec{k} \\ \Psi_{|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}} &= \Psi_b \equiv \begin{cases} \Psi_{b^e} & \text{if } s \in \partial \mathcal{D}^e \\ \Psi_{C_k^e} & \text{if } s \in C_k^{ie} \\ \Psi_{C_n^{iu}} & \text{if } s \in C_n^{ii} \end{cases}$$
Solve full problem (optimize *J*, Eqs. (5) and (16)) using Ψ_{b^e} and $\Psi_{C_n^{iu}}$ from above Modell.

471

472

473

474

475

479

480

483

485

486

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508 509

6

4(

414

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

where $H\tilde{U}_{(1)}$ is any test transport, $\omega(x, y)$ a positive definite weight-397 ing function and da an area element over domain \mathcal{D} . 398

The first non-divergence constraint is imposed by replacing 399 $H\tilde{\vec{U}}_{(1)}$ in Eq. (2) using a test transport streamfunction, $\tilde{\Psi}$, formula-400

$$H\widetilde{U}_{(1)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \widetilde{\Psi}$$
(3)

405 where \hat{k} the unit vector in the vertical. For 3D velocities, one has the choice of either working with layer-by-layer transports or directly 406 407 with level-by-level velocities. If one chooses layer transports, then 408 the only change to Eq. (3) (and in subsequent Eqs. and weighting 409 functions) is that H(x, y) is the (variable) layer thickness, not the 410 total water depth. If one optimizes level-by-level velocities, then 411 level-by-level test velocity streamfunctions are defined, 412

$$\vec{\widetilde{u}}_{(1)} = \hat{k} \times \nabla \widetilde{\psi}. \tag{4}$$

This imposes a horizontal non-divergence on $\tilde{\tilde{u}}_{(1)}$. For cases in 415 which $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_{(0)}$ is important, a corrector to recover this divergence 416 417 is obtained in Appendix B.

In Appendix D.1, we obtain, via the calculus of variations, the 418 419 following PDE for the Ψ that minimizes J for a given set of imposed 420 BCs, Ψ_h (to be derived): 421

$$\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \Psi) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$$
⁽⁵⁾

423
$$\Psi|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \Psi_b$$

424 Eq. (5) without the weighting function, ω , is fairly standard and 425 usually obtained via the Helmholtz decomposition of a vector into 426 nondivergent and irrotational components (e.g., Lynch, 1989; Denaro, 2003; Li et al., 2006). The weighting function $\omega(x, y)$ can 427 428 be decomposed into the product of a weight based on the uncertainty in $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ and a physically-based weight. Two intuitive choic-429 es for the physically-based weight are: $\omega = 1$, i.e. Eq. (2) minimizes 430 the difference in the transports, and $\omega = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$, i.e. Eq. (2) minimizes 431 the difference in the velocities. In practice, while these two choices 432 give overall similar results, minimizing the difference in transports 433 434 $(\omega = 1)$ tends to allow larger velocities. This can exacerbate prob-435 lems with over-estimating the barotropic velocity in isolated channels in complex archipelagos, hence $\omega = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$ (minimizing the 436 velocity differences) is the preferred choice. Other choices could 437 be explored, e.g. $\omega = \left\| H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right\|^{-2}$, minimizing relative velocity, or 438 $\omega = \|\nabla H\|^{-2}$, reducing weights over steep bathymetry where 439 $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ may be less accurate. When working with velocity stream-440 functions, ψ , $\omega = 1$ provides the velocity best fit and 441 $\omega = \|\vec{u}_{(0)}\|^{-2}$ provides the relative velocity best fit. When imple-442 menting Eq. (5) for ψ , we often impose it at all vertical levels to 443 444 ensure vertical interpolations maintain no-normal flow.

445 Boundary Conditions Before Eq. (5) can be solved for Ψ , the Dirichlet boundary values Ψ_b need to be optimized. Here, we 446 447 derive a system of equations to obtain the best-fit Dirichlet conditions along the open boundaries and complex "external coasts", 448 coastlines which intersect the boundary of the computational 449 domain. The external coasts and open boundaries form the exterior 450 451 boundary, $\partial \mathcal{D}^e \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$, of the complex domain. This scheme assumes that the boundary values of $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ are known with equal confidence 452 453 to the interior values, which is appropriate when downscaling or 454 when the coverage (data or feature model) extends to the bound-455 aries. For other cases, we derive a scheme to first extend the inte-456 rior velocity information to the boundaries, and then use them in 457 the present scheme. Boundary values for "islands" (landforms in 458 the interior of \mathcal{D}) are discussed in Section 3.2.

Since $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ does not respect the divergence or coastal con-459 straints even at the boundary (e.g. no net transport), we need 460 best-fit boundary values which do. The cost function, J_{b^e} , defined 461 on $\partial \mathcal{D}^e$ which optimizes candidate Dirichlet BCs, $\widetilde{\Psi}_{b^e}$, to best-fit 462 the normal transport provided by $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ is: 463

$$J_{b^{e}}(H\vec{\tilde{U}}_{b^{e}}) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}^{e}} \omega \left[\left(H\vec{U}_{(0)} - H\vec{\tilde{U}}_{b^{e}} \right) \cdot \hat{n} \right]^{2} ds$$

$$466$$

$$467$$

$$\iff J_{b^e}(\tilde{\Psi}_{b^e}) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial D^e} \omega \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{b^e}}{\partial s} + H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right)^2 ds \tag{6}$$

where ω is the same weighting function as used in Eqs. (2)–(5), $H\widetilde{U}_{b^e}$ are the candidate boundary transports corresponding to $\widetilde{\Psi}_{b^e}$, and s is the tangential coordinate to the boundary in the counterclockwise direction.

Employing calculus of variations (Appendix D.2), we obtain a PDE along the open segments for the Ψ_{b^e} that minimizes J_{b^e}

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega \frac{\partial \Psi_{b^e}}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) \tag{7}$$

along with the jump conditions at the coastal endpoints

$$-\left[\omega\left(\frac{\partial\Psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s}+H\vec{U}_{(0)}\cdot\hat{n}\right)\right]\Big|_{\mathcal{C}_{m}^{e-}}^{\mathcal{C}_{m}^{e+}}=0$$
(8)
482

where $C_m^{e_+}$ is the end of coast *m* (traversing the coast counter-clockwise) and C_m^{e-} is the beginning, see Fig. D.1. To ensure no-normal 384flow (i.e. Ψ_{b^e} constant along C_m^e), we append the following condition

$$\Psi_{b^e}|_{C_m^e}^{C_m^e} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Physically, Eq. (8) equalizes the mismatch (weighted by ω) between $H\vec{U}_{(0)}\cdot\hat{n}$ and $H\vec{U}_{(1)}\cdot\hat{n}=-rac{\partial\Psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s}$ at both ends of a coast (i.e. between open boundary segments), while Eq. (7) equilibrates the variations in the mismatch along the open boundary segments. Enforcing both (7) and (8) thus penalizes the mismatch along all boundaries. Note that if one integrates (7) along coast *m* instead of an open segment (where (7) applies), one recovers (8).

Known transport information (most often in the form of a net transport between coasts) can also be included, taking advantage of the additive indeterminacy in Ψ . To do this, we identify the set of coasts, $\{C_k^e\}$, along which the values for the transport streamfunction, $\{\Psi_{C_{\nu}^{e}}\}$ are known and directly impose these values. As an example, consider the domain of Fig. D.1 and assume that the literature reports a net 1 Sv southeast transport between C_1^e and C_2^e . We can arbitrarily pick two values for these coasts whose difference is equal to the net transport (e.g. $\Psi_{C_1^e} = 0$ and $\Psi_{C_2^e} = 1$ Sv) and include those two identity equations to impose this net transport. The final, general, system for finding the Dirichlet boundary values (separating the unknowns on the left-hand side from the knowns on the right) is

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega \frac{\partial \Psi_{b^e}}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) \quad \text{along open boundaries} \\ &- \left(\omega \frac{\partial \Psi_{b^e}}{\partial s} \right) \Big|_{C_m^{e^-}}^{c_m^{e^+}} = \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) \Big|_{C_m^{e^-}}^{c_m^{e^+}} \quad \text{at unknown coasts} \left\{ C_m^e \right\} \\ &\Psi_{b^e} \Big|_{C_m^{e^-}}^{c_m^{e^-}} = 0 \quad \text{at unknown coasts} \left\{ C_m^e \right\} \\ &\Psi_{b^e} \Big|_{C_m^{e^-}} = \Psi_{C_k^e} \quad \text{at known coasts} \left\{ C_k^e \right\} \end{aligned}$$

After Eq. (10) are solved, the values for Ψ_{b^e} found at the ends of 512 the unknown coasts, C_m^e , are applied all along their respective 513

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

7



rig. D.i. Canonical computational domain, nightighting the different types of fandronnis and coasts.

514 coasts, C_m^e . For velocity streamfunctions, replace (Ψ, Ψ_{b^e}) with 515 (ψ, ψ_{b^e}) and $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ with $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ in Eqs. (5) and (10). The algorithm 516 and its equations are summarized in Table 2.

Propagating interior information to the boundaries. Here we give 517 the solution in which $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ in the interior of the complex domain, 518 or in part of it, is known with a higher degree of confidence than 519 520 $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ along the open boundary. Hence we propagate the interior information to the boundary prior to solving Eq. (10). The basic 521 idea is to use a modified version of the best-fit Eq. (5) to perform 522 the propagation. There are two modifications. The first modifies 523 \mathcal{D} by removing all but a single coast, C^{1cst} , (i.e. we transform the 524 remaining land points into shallow ocean points and take advan-525 tage of the fact that $\vec{U}_{(0)} = 0$ under all land and coasts). Along this 526 single coast we are free to impose any constant, $\Psi_{C^{1est}}$. The second 527 modification is to replace the Dirichlet OBCs by either the 528 Neumann OBCs derived in Appendix D.1 or by a combination of 529 weaker free-OBCs with ω identically zero at the boundary (to 530 maintain a best-fit solution, Appendix D.1). Finally, the function 531 $\omega(x, y)$ needs to be small (e.g. based on uncertainty) near the open 532 533 534 boundaries. This gives:

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\omega \nabla \Psi_{(-1)}\right) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)}\right)\right] \cdot \hat{k}$$

$$\Psi_{(-1)}|_{C^{\text{test}}} = \Psi_{C^{\text{test}}}$$
(11)

536

541

or

540
$$\nabla \Psi_{(-1)} \cdot \hat{n} \big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = -\hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \big|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$$

 $\omega|_{\partial D} = \mathbf{0} \quad \& \quad \mathbf{e.g.} \quad \frac{\partial HU \cdot \hat{n}}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial D} = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{(-1)}}{\partial n \partial t}\Big|_{\partial D} = \mathbf{0}$ 544

We then recompute $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ from the $\Psi_{(-1)}$ and use this new $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ in 545 Eq. (10). For velocity streamfunctions, replace $\Psi_{(-1)}$ by $\psi_{(-1)}$ and 546 $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ by $\vec{u}_{(0)}$. 547

Nesting considerations. When preparing initializations for nested domains with complex multiply-connected geometries, a key consideration is consistency between the fields in coarser and finer grids. To ensure this consistency, we by-pass Eq. (10) for the fine grid, and instead interpolate the coarse-domain Ψ to obtain the fine domain Ψ_{b^e} . This is illustrated in Section 4.3.3 where we explore options for the fine-domain islands.

3.2. Core algorithm to optimize sub-tidal transports between islands and velocities near islands

To obtain the Dirichlet values along islands (Ψ_{c^i}), either transport estimates from additional sources (e.g. estimates in the literature) are used or a scheme is required to construct the necessary constant values from $\vec{U}_{(0)}$. Care is needed to ensure that the selected constant values do not produce unrealistic velocities, especially in multiply-connected archipelagos. Here we derive a system of algebraic equations (Eq. 15) for the optimized constant values of the streamfunction along islands that were uncertain, $\Psi_{c^{iu}}$ or $\psi_{c^{iu}}$, a common situation in complex domains.

"Certain coast" Solution. In order to obtain a first estimate for the unknown transports between islands and other coasts, we best-fit transports and velocities in the absence of islands (i.e. we transform

542

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

578

588

589 590

592

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

the islands into ocean points). We begin by separating ∂D into certain, ∂D^c , and uncertain, ∂D^{iu} , segments. ∂D^c will be comprised of ∂D^e , the solved external boundaries (Eq. (10)), and of ∂D^{ic} , islands C_k^{ic} along which we have streamfunction values, $\Psi_{C_k^{ic}}$, we wish to impose (e.g. a literature estimate for the transport between C_k^{ic} and C_m^e added to the previously obtained Ψ_{b^c} along C_m^e). We solve for the "certain coast solution", $\Psi_{(0)}$, over D using the PDE

$$\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \Psi_{(0)}) = \left[\nabla \times \left(\omega H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{k}$$

$$\Psi_{(0)} \Big|_{\partial D^{c}} = \Psi_{b^{c}} \equiv \begin{cases} \Psi_{b^{e}} & \text{if } s \in \partial D^{e} \\ \Psi_{C_{k}^{ic}} & \text{if } s \in C_{k}^{ic} \end{cases}$$

$$(12)$$

(Table 2). Note that $\Psi_{(0)}$ is not constrained to satisfy no-normal 579 flow along the uncertain islands. $\Psi_{(0)}$ contains useful information 580 from the data and dynamics that went into $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ (e.g. the position of 581 major currents relative to the coastlines, the effects of bathymetry) 582 583 which will be used to determine the appropriate constant $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ along the uncertain coasts. These $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ will be used along with $(\Psi_{b^e}, \Psi_{C^{ic}})$ to 584 585 complete the set of all BCs Ψ_b . Eq. (5) can then be solved to construct the final Ψ . We next define two methods for determining $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$: aver-586 aging and weighted Least Squares optimization. 587

Averaging. The first simpler method we define is to average $\Psi_{(0)}$ along each C_k^{iu} and use those averages for Ψ_b in Eq. (5) as

$$\Psi_{b} = \begin{cases} \Psi_{b^{e}} & \text{if } s \in \partial \mathcal{D}^{e} \\ \Psi_{C_{k}^{ic}} & \text{if } s \in C_{k}^{ic} \\ \frac{\oint_{C_{k}^{iu}} \Psi_{(0)} ds}{\oint_{C_{k}^{iu}} ds} & \text{if } s \in C_{k}^{iu} \end{cases}$$
(13)

⁵⁹³ In practice, we found that this averaging only works if the differences between the finally determined Ψ and $\Psi_{(0)}$ are localized around each island (i.e. only small perturbations introduced at other islands). In general, one can not require such localization assumptions. Hence, we derive a new, robust method for constructing Ψ_{ciu} . We compare results using these two methods in Section 4.

Weighted Least Squares optimization. The optimization best fits 599 the inter-island transports to the minimum inter-island transports 600 as calculated from $\Psi_{(0)}$ in order to find $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ that produce a bal-601 anced and smooth velocity field, e.g. with no unrealistically large 602 velocities. In the uncertain straits, the goal is to minimize the dif-603 604 ference between the minimum net transports between islands estimated from $\Psi_{(0)}$ and the net transports between islands with 605 $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ constant along each island. Alternatively one can minimize 606 the differences between the average barotropic velocities between 607 islands from $\Psi_{(0)}$ and using $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$. In Section 3.2.1 we show how to 608 compute weights to select between fitting the transports or the 609 610 barotropic velocities. The addition of weak constraints to provide 611 additional bounds on the velocity is presented in Section 3.2.2.

612 We define M^c as the number of coasts in ∂D^c and N^{iu} as the 613 number of coasts in ∂D^{iu} . The global optimization functional to find 614 the $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ is

$$J_{b^{u}}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{\mathrm{iu}}},\ldots,\Psi_{C_{N^{\mathrm{iu}}}^{\mathrm{iu}}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \sum_{m=n+1}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \left[\varpi_{nm}^{\mathrm{uu}}\left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{\mathrm{iu}}}-\Psi_{C_{m}^{\mathrm{iu}}}-\Delta_{nm}^{\mathrm{uu}}\Psi_{(0)}\right)^{2}\right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \sum_{k=1}^{M^{\mathrm{c}}} \left[\varpi_{nk}^{\mathrm{uc}}\left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{\mathrm{iu}}}-\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{\mathrm{uc}})\right)^{2}\right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{\mathrm{iu}}} \left[\varpi_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}}\left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{\mathrm{iu}}}-\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{\mathrm{uo}})\right)^{2}\right]$$
(14)

Eq.(14) is comprised of three terms: (i) a double summation to opti-618 mize the transport between all pairs of uncertain coasts, C^{iu} ; (ii) a 619 double summation to optimize the transport between all pairs of 620 uncertain and certain coasts, C^{c} ; and (iii) a single summation to 621 optimize the transport between each of the uncertain coasts and 622 the open boundaries of the complex domain. These three terms 623 are derived in Appendix D.3. Note that the physical constraints on 624 this optimization come from $\Psi_{(0)}$ (e.g. if $\Psi_{(0)}$ contains a strong cur-625 rent between two islands, the minimization target value of the first 626 term, $\Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)}$, contains the minimum transport of that current). We 627 utilize the superscript notation:uu for weights and differences 628 between pairs of uncertain coasts; uc between uncertain and cer-629 tain coasts; and uo between uncertain coasts and the open 630 boundaries. The first double summation in Eq.(14) measures the 631 weighted (ϖ_{nm}^{uu}) difference between the optimized net transport, 632 $\Psi_{C_{i}^{iu}} - \Psi_{C_{i}^{iu}}$, between the pairs of coasts and the minimum net trans-633 port, $\Delta_{nm}^{uu}\Psi_{(0)},$ computed from the certain coast solution, $\Psi_{(0)}.$ The 634 second double summation measures the weighted (ϖ_{nk}^{uc}) difference 635 between the optimized $\Psi_{C_n^{iu}}$ and $\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc})$, the value of $\Psi_{(0)}$ along C_n^{iu} 636 which minimizes the net transport (estimated by $\Psi_{(0)}$) between C_n^{iu} 637 and C_k^c . s_{nk}^{uc} is the point along C_n^{iu} at which $\Psi_{(0)}$ attains this value. The 638 final single summation measures the weighted (\overline{w}_{nb}^{uo}) difference 639 between the optimized $\Psi_{C_n^{iu}}$ and $\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo})$, the value of $\Psi_{(0)}$ along 640 $C_n^{\rm iu}$ which minimizes the net transport (estimated by $\Psi_{(0)}$) between 641 $C_n^{\rm iu}$ and $\partial \mathcal{D}^o$. s_{nh}^{uo} is the point along $C_n^{\rm iu}$ at which $\Psi_{(0)}$ attains this 642 value. The first double sum provides the algorithm robustness to 643 non-localized changes from imposing the $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$, while the second 644 two provide a pathway for the absolute value of Ψ_{b^e} (Appendix D.3). 645

The least square minimum of $J_{b^{\mu}}$ in (14) is computed by setting gradients with respect to $\Psi_{C_n^{[\mu]}}$'s equal to zero. The result is given by: 648649

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^{N^{iu}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nk}^{uc} + \varpi_{nb}^{uo} \end{bmatrix} \Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}} - \sum_{m=1}^{N^{iu}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{C_{m}^{iu}}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N^{iu}} \varpi_{nm}^{uu} \Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{M^{c}} \varpi_{nk}^{uc} \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc}) + \varpi_{nb}^{uo} \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo})$$
(15)

Eq. (15) represents a system of N^{iu} equations that we solve to obtain the constant values of transport streamfunction $(\Psi_{C_n^{iu}})$ along the coastlines in $\partial \mathcal{D}^{iu}$. These streamfunction values, which smooth the velocity field, are then included as Dirichlet BCs to then solve (5).

$$\Psi_{b} = \begin{cases} \Psi_{b^{e}} & \text{if } s \in \partial D^{e} \\ \Psi_{C_{k}^{ic}} & \text{if } s \in C_{k}^{ic} \\ \Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}} & \text{if } s \in C_{n}^{iu} \end{cases}$$
(16)

659

660

661

662

663

664 665

Imposing additional inter-island transport constraints. If there exists any additional transport information that can be imposed, for example a known transport $\Delta_{nm}^{imp}\Psi$ between a specific pair of islands both in ∂D^{iu} , the corresponding $\Delta_{nm}^{uu}\Psi_{(0)}$ (Appendix D.3) would be replaced:

$$\Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{nm}^{imp} \Psi & \text{if imposing transport} \\ \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nm}^{uu}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{mn}^{uu}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(17) \qquad 667$$

and the corresponding ϖ_{nm}^{uu} would be increased to ensure this imposed constraint is weighted much more heavily than any of the constraints derived from $\Psi_{(0)}$. This is illustrated in 670

617

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746 747

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774 775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

Section 4.3.2. If the transport being imposed is less certain, then one would not increase the weight as much (i.e. multiply the weight

673 needed to enforce $\Delta_{nm}^{imp}\Psi$ by an uncertainty-based weight).

3.2.1. Constructing weights using the Fast Marching Method (FMM) 674 675 We now discuss the selection of the weighting functions to be 676 used in Eq. (15). As for ω (discussion following Eq. (5)), we can 677 decompose these weights into the product of uncertainty-based 678 and physically-based weights.

679 The primary purpose of the physically-based weights is to 680 ensure that the optimization functional weights the transport dif-681 ferences between adjacent coasts more heavily that those between 682 widely separated coasts. One class of such weights can be con-683 structed by using the minimum distance between a pair of coasts, d_{nm} , such as $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = \left(d_{global \ min} / d_{nm} \right)^2$ where the weight is nondimen-684 sionalized by minimum distance between all pairs of coasts, 685 686 $d_{global min}$. A second class can be obtained by integrating Eq. (3) 687 along a path, S_{nm} , between two coasts, C_n and C_m , to get 688

$$\int_{S_{nm}} H\vec{U} \cdot \hat{n} dS = \int_{S_{nm}} \hat{k} \times \nabla \Psi \cdot \hat{n} dS$$

69

69

69

69

725

671

672

$$\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{nm} A_{nm} = \int_{S_{nm}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial S} \, dS$$

$$= \Psi_{C_n} - \Psi_{C_m}$$

where $\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{nm}$ is the average barotropic velocity along path S_{nm} and 697 A_{nm} is the cross-sectional area of the ocean along that path. The path 698 699 between the two coasts that corresponds to the minimum cross-sectional area, A_{nm} , will have the maximum $\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{nm}$ Therefore, comparing 700 Eqs. (14) and (18), a weighting function which will lead to minimiz-701 ing the average barotropic velocity is $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = (\mathcal{A}_{global min}/\mathcal{A}_{nm})^2$, where 702 again ϖ_{nm}^{uu} is nondimensionalized by the minimum A_{nm} between all 703 coasts and between all coasts and open boundaries, Aglobal min. Note: 704 705 if d_{nm} is the distance along the shortest path in the ocean, then similar arguments can be used to show $\overline{\varpi}_{nm}^{uu} = (d_{global min}/d_{nm})^2$ is equiva-706 707 lent to minimizing the transport. The effects of different choices for the weights ($\overline{\sigma}_{nm}^{uu}, \overline{\sigma}_{nk}^{uc}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_{nb}^{uo}$) are illustrated in Section 4.3.1. For 708 709 the case of velocity streamfunctions, ψ , Eq. (18) reduces to $\langle \vec{u} \rangle_{nm} d_{nm} = \psi_{C_n} - \psi_{C_m}$. Hence for ψ , minimizing the maximum $\langle \vec{u} \rangle_{nm}$ 710 requires $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = (d_{global min}/d_{nm})^2$. 711

712 To efficiently find the minimum A_{nm} among all paths between a 713 pair of islands, we employ the FMM (see Agarwal et al., 2009 714 Haley et al., 2014), which solves an Eikonal equation for a mono-715 tonically expanding front: 716

718
$$|\nabla \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1$$
(19)

719 where $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ is the scalar speed and $\mathcal{T}(x, y)$ is the minimum time to 720 reach any point in the domain from a given starting point (x_0, y_0) . To 721 obtain the minimum area, A_{nm} , or the minimum distance, d_{nm} we 722 723 set

$$\mathcal{F}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{H(x,y)} & \text{to find } \mathcal{A}_{nm} \\ 1 & \text{to find } d_{nm} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{T}|_{C_n^i} = 0$ along one island (C_n^i) . We then solve Eq. (19) for $\mathcal{T}(x, y)$ 726 using the FMM. With these choices for speed \mathcal{F} , the minimum time 727 to reach the second island, min $(\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{C}_m^i})$, is numerically equal to \mathcal{A}_{nm} 728 or d_{nm} . Since we are only interested in the value of the minimal 729 cross-sectional area and not its path, we do not need to perform a 730 back-tracking step to find that path (e.g., Lolla et al., 2012, 2014,; 731 732 Lermusiaux et al., in press).

3.2.2. Weak bounds on velocity and transport constraints

We finally present one optional variation of our algorithm to find the inter-island transports: the inclusion of additional weak constraints on the barotropic velocity. Focusing on the example of the flow between a pair of islands, assume that Eq. (15) is being solved using the minimum area for the physically-based portion of the weighting. Then, prior to solving Eq. (15), estimates exist for both the target transport, $\Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)}$, and the minimum cross-sectional area, A_{nm} , between the islands. Using Eq. (18), the corresponding average barotropic velocity, $\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{nm}$ can also be computed. If an independent upper bound, V_{lim}, exists for the mean barotropic velocity between the islands (e.g. from literature or a precautionary upper bound), then we modify the definition of $\Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)}$ (Appendix D.3) to be

$$\Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} = \begin{cases} V_{lim} \mathcal{A}_{nm} \operatorname{sign} \left(\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nm}^{uu}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{mn}^{uu}) \right) & \text{if } |\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{nm} | > V_{lim} \\ \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nm}^{uu}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{mn}^{uu}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(20)

and use this in Eq. (15). Eq. (20) is similar to Eq. (17). Differences here are that (i) we apply weak upper and lower bounds to the velocity but do not force a specific transport hence we do not increase the weights and (ii) we obtain the transport based on the velocity estimates. For the transport between islands and external coasts, the same change applies, except that $\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc})$ is replaced by $\Psi_{C_k^c} + V_{lim} A_{nm} \operatorname{sign}(\Delta_{nk}^{uc} \Psi_{(0)})$ (similarly for the transport between islands and the exterior open boundary). The application of these bounds is illustrated in Section 4.3.1. This can be adapted to also provide lower bounds for the mean barotropic velocities or directly bound the transports. Uncertainty information can also be incorporated into the weights.

4. Applications

(18)

In Section 4.1 we illustrate our core algorithm to optimize subtidal velocities and transports in complex domains around the Hawaiian islands of Kauai and Niihau. We then compare our core algorithm to the result of an averaging method (Eq. 13) to obtain the streamfunction values along the uncertain islands and to the result of a spin-up IC. Subsequent simulations starting from the three ICs show that our optimized IC does a significantly better job at reproducing the historically observed circulation patterns. In Section 4.2, we consider the Taiwan region and compare the results of our optimized ICs, ICs using $\Psi_{\mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{iu}}}$ from averaging and two spin-up ICs. We also compare hindcast simulations initialized from four different fields to independent in situ data off the coast of Taiwan. The hindcasts from reduced physics ICs outperform those from spin-up ICs, with the hindcast from our optimized ICs providing again the overall best fit to data. In the Philippine Archipelago, Section 4.3, our optimization removes spurious velocities introduced by the averaging method. In light of the many islands, in Section 4.3.1 we explore the impacts of different choices of weights (Section 3.2.1) and the application of velocity limits (Section 3.2.2). In Section 4.3.2, we demonstrate imposing inter-island transports in selected straits (Eq. 17) in conjunction with the optimization. Finally in Section 4.3.3, we exemplify our optimization in nested configurations. Note that in all these examples we compare methods for constructing $\vec{u}_{(1)}$, $\vec{u}_{(2)}$ and $H\vec{U}_{(1)}$. The final initial w estimate is computed at a later step, Eq. (C.6).

4.1. Hawaiian islands region

787 788

We illustrate the steps of our optimization method in a 789 269×218 km domain around the island of Kauai, which also 790 encompasses the island of Niihau and the western tip of Oahu 791

79

79

ARTICLE IN PRESS

813

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

(Fig. D.2). This domain was employed for the Kauai-09 field exercise (July 28-August 8, 2009). We discretize the domain with 794 1 km horizontal resolution and 90 vertical levels in a terrain-fol-795 lowing coordinate system. We objectively analyze a combination of CTDs from GTSPP (July 1-24, 2009) with a corrected July 796 WOA01 climatology to create July 25, 2009 ICs on flat levels. The 797 798 correction shifted the mean salinity profile in the upper 100 m to be consistent with the 2009 profiles. A 7 day analysis SST from 799 the UK NCOF Operational SST and Sea Ice for July 25, 2009 is com-800 bined with the mapped T in a 40 m mixed layer with a 7 m expo-801 nential decay in the transition zone. $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ is then constructed by a 802 combination of (i) velocities in geostrophic balance with the 3D 803 804 T/S fields using a 2000 m level of no-motion (LNM), (ii) velocity anomalies derived from SSH anomaly estimates for July 25, 2009 805 obtained from the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research 806

(CCAR; Leben et al., 2002), and, (iii) feature models for the North 807 Hawaiian Ridge Current (north of Oahu) and the Hawaiian Lee 808 Current (south of Oahu) which add broad northwesterly currents 809 that become more westerly with increasing latitude. The surface 810 velocity anomalies, $\Delta \vec{u}_{SSH}$, derived from the SSH anomaly, $\Delta \eta_{SSH}$, 811 are constructed from geostrophy and hydrostatics using 812

$$k \times f \Delta \vec{u}_{\rm SSH} = -g \nabla \Delta \eta_{\rm SSH} \tag{21}$$

where *f* is the Coriolis factor and *g* the acceleration due to gravity. 816 The $\Delta \vec{u}_{SSH}$ are extended in the vertical using a Gaussian profile with 817 a 250 m decay scale. After the superposition, the simple bathymetry 818 constraints are applied, leading to $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ (Fig. D.2(a)). We fit $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ to the 819 level-by-level coastal constraints (Fig. D.2(b)), interpolate to the ter-820 rain-following coordinates and construct $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ from the 821



Fig. D.2. Illustrating the steps in optimizing velocities and transports. (a) First guess velocity field on flat levels. (b) Applying level-by-level coastal/bathymetric constraints on flat levels. (c) Resulting first guess transport (after interpolation to terrain-follow grid). (d) Applying coastal/bathymetric constraints to transport. (e) Superimposing tides. This is the final IC estimate, result of our optimization. (f) IC obtained using averaging to impose no-normal flow, shown for comparison.

82

824

82

826

827

829

82

830

831

83

83

834

834

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

interpolated $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ (Eq. 1, Fig. D.2(c)). Even though $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ has been fit to coasts, $\vec{U}_{(0)}$ has not and it still has velocities into the coasts of Kauai and Niihau. Thus, we next fit $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ to the coastal constraints, using our optimization (Eq. 15, Fig. D.2(d)). We then rescale $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ for the subtidal free surface ($\vec{U}_{(2)}$, not shown) and finally superimpose barotropic tides, created using Logutov and Lermusiaux, 2008 with boundary forcing from OTIS (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002), to obtain $\vec{U}_{(3)}$ (Fig. D.2(e)). For comparison, we also present an initialization from geostrophy, without the level-by-level optimization, with the subtidal barotropic velocity obtained using $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ from averaging via Eq. (13) and with barotropic tides superimposed (Fig. D.2(f)). The averaging overestimates the transport between the islands. Fig. D.3 compares the initial evolution of three simulations: one using the full optimization IC of Fig. D.2(e), the second using the averaging IC of Fig. D.2(f)) and the third a spin-up from zero with

tidal forcing added. These simulations were made using the 837 MSEAS PE model (Appendix A and HL10) and forced with atmo-838 spheric fluxes from NOGAPS and the barotropic tides described 839 above. To compare the transports between Kauai and Niihau, 840 $\frac{1}{10}$ ig. D.3(a)–(f) show the 24 h time averages of \vec{U} at the beginning 841 of the simulation and after an initial adjustment to the PE dynam-842 ics (4 days). Both the reduced physics IC using $\Psi_{c^{iu}}$ from averaging 843 and the spin-up IC overestimate the transports between Kauai and 844 Niihau, even after the initial adjustment. Both also have an exces-845 sively strong transport inflow along the northern coast of Oahu 846 (21.5 N,158 W). The flow across f/H contours is due in part to 847 the inability of the sparse TS data, coarse TS climatology and the 848 relatively coarse SSH to resolve topographic effects. This would 849 also be an issue when downscaling from an insufficiently resolved 850 model. A sufficiently resolved TS or downscaling from a sufficiently 851 resolved model would resolve topography and remove spurious 852



Fig. D.3. Comparing 24 h-averaged velocity, $\langle \vec{U} \rangle_{24hr}$, from 3 simulations (at initial time and after 4 days). (a), (b) Simulation from optimized ICs. (c), (d) Simulation from ICs using averaged Ψ_{clu}. (e), (f) Simulation from spin-up ICs. Both averaged and spin-up ICs over-estimate transport between islands of Kauai and Niihau.

871

877

879

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

853 cross isobath flow. The optimization process drives the velocities towards the minimum transport $\Psi_{(0)}$ between these islands that 854 855 is in accord with the initial guess. Since none of the initial TS, SSH, nor feature models contained strong initial guess currents 856 between the islands, the optimized currents are diverted away 857 from the channel and around the topography, much more closely 858 following vorticity contours (f/H) if that is the dominant term). 859 860 "Averaging" merely splits the transport evenly around each island, 861 which concentrates the flow between them. The initial spin-up 862 also blindly splits the transport around each island. In real-time 863 exercises, even the addition of data assimilation of the available 864 sparse data did not correct the initial transports (not shown). Hence, the optimization (especially Eq. (15)) provides additional 865 information on the inter-island transports which enables it to pro-866 duce superior ICs to those from spin-up or "averaging". 867

868 Fig. D.4(a) shows the 50 m temperature from day 4 of the 869 simulation from optimized ICs. Differences in the 50 m temperature between the run from averaged $\Psi_{c^{iu}}$ IC and our optimized 870 JC, and between the spin-up IC and the optimized IC, are shown in Fig. D.4(c) and (d), respectively. The differences are significant, 872 $O(1-1.5^{\circ}C)$. Large patches of higher differences to the Northwest 873 874 of Kauai by day 4 start as smaller regions off the Northern tip of 875 Niihau and are advected to the north. These differences are directly attributable to the difference in transports. The differences in tem-876 perature between the 3 simulations continue to grow throughout 878 the 2 week simulation <mark>(Fig. D.4(b)),</mark> even though the transports become more similar to each other (not shown). This indicates that 880 initial kinetic energy errors are transferred to potential energy 881 errors, as hinted in the problem statement.

The circulation pattern of the optimized solution is corroborat-882 ed by data. Qiu et al. (1997) produced a spaghetti diagram of sur-883 face drifter tracks around the Hawaiian islands for the period 884 1989–1996. Many more drifters passed south or north of Kauai/ 885 Niihau than crossed between them. Chavanne et al. (2007) pro-886 duced a map of surface currents for 9 April 2003, using altimetry 887 and high frequency radar. A strong westward current is seen 888 south of Kauai/Niihau with only a small current between them. 889 Firing and Brainard (2004) examined 10 years of shipboard 890 ADCP from 1990–2000. Among their conclusions was that the 891 North Hawaiian Ridge Current flowed (westward) to the south 892 of Kauai/Niihau. The common element, namely the current being 893 primarily around Kauai/Niihau rather than between them, is 894 much more faithfully represented using the optimization ICs 895 rather than the averaging or spin-up ICs. Even a variational ini-896 tialization could benefit by starting from the optimized ICs. 897 Finally, we stress again that during a numerical "model adjust-898 ment" of too inaccurate (too large or too small) velocities, both 899 the density and velocity fields are modified. Even if the velocities 900 are corrected by such adjustments, the modeled fields still have 901 some memory of the erroneous initial velocity (the adjustment 902 is dynamical after all). Such errors can thus damage the field esti-903 mation for some time, especially if the erroneous inter-island 904 velocities are well within the interior of the modeling domain, 905 in which case their dynamical effects could remain there for a sig-906 nificant duration. In fact, it is likely that only data assimilation 907 could correct these effects. Of course, even if there is sufficient 908 data to correct these effects, assimilating into fields with smaller 909 errors reduces the potential for shock. 910



0.5

Fig. D.4. Comparing temperature at 50 m from the same 3 simulations as on Fig. D.3. (a) Simulation from optimized ICs. (b) Time history of RMS differences between simulations. (c) Simulation from ICs using averaged $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$. (d) Simulation from spin-up ICs. The erroneous transports of the averaged and spin-up ICs (Fig. D.3) have led to growing differences in the tracer fields throughout the 2 week simulations

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

911 4.2. Taiwan–Kuroshio region

912 We next consider a 125×1035 km domain off the southeast 913 coast of China encompassing Taiwan and the Kuroshio. This 914 domain was employed for one of the Quantifying, Predicting and 915 Exploiting uncertainty experiments during Aug 13–Sep 10, 2009 916 (Gawarkiewicz et al., 2011). We discretize the domain with 917 4.5 km horizontal resolution and 70 vertical levels in a terrainfollowing coordinate system (HL10). For the initialization, we 918 objectively analyze a summer climatology T/S data set created 919 from HydroBase 2 (Lozier et al., 1995) and World Ocean Atlas 920 2001 (WOA-01; Stephens et al., 2002; Boyer et al., 2002). We com-921 pute $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ using the thermal wind equations with a 1000 m LNM and 922 imposing the simple bathymetry constraints. We then construct 923 $\vec{u}_{(1)}$, satisfying the level-by-level coastal constraints, interpolate 924 to terrain-following coordinates and construct the first-guess 925





Fig. D.5. Subtidal velocity adjustment. (a) Initial velocity at 25 m, from geostrophy and optimization between islands. (b) Initial velocity at 25 m from geostrophy and averaging of island BCs for barotropic mode only. Without level-by-level optimization, initial velocities enter coasts, e.g.: southern end of Taiwan, Luzon and neighboring islands, and islands along Ilan ridge. (c) Spin-up from zero holding tracers constant. (d) Spin-up from zero but with nudging tracers at open boundaries to ICs. (e) KE per unit volume for runs initialized from (a), (b) and spin up runs (c), (d). KE relatively uniform for ICs from geostrophy. Although KE stabilized in all runs, spin-up simulations still have not developed a Kuroshio.

Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

13

OCEMOD 958 11 March 2015

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

sub-tidal transport $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ from the interpolated $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ (Eq. 1). We then fit $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ to the coastal constraints, using our optimization (Eq. 15). We compare the 25 m velocity from the above initialization (Fig. D.5(a)) to three other initializations. The first starts from the

same $\vec{u}_{(0)}$, does not apply the level-by-level optimization and constructs a nondivergent \vec{U} using $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ obtained by averaging (Eq. 13), Fig. D.5(b)). The other two ICs are spin-ups from zero velocity, the first "freezing" tracers at the initial values (Fig. D.5(c)), the

° 20° (a) 100 m \vec{u}_{opt} IC (b) 100 m \vec{u}_{opt} at 0.25 d (c) 100 m \vec{u}_{opt} at 20 d ° 20° (d) 100 m \vec{u}_{avg} IC (e) 100 m \vec{u}_{avg} at 0.25 d (f) 100 m \vec{u}_{avg} at 20 d 25° 20° ° (g) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up1}$ IC (h) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up1}$ at 0.25 d (i) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up1}$ at 20 d ° ° ° 120 E 120 E 120 E 130 E 25 E (j) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up2}$ IC (k) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up2}$ at 0.25 d (l) 100 m $\vec{u}_{spin-up2}$ at 20 d

Fig. D.6. Comparing 100 m velocity fields from simulations (horizontally: at initial time, after 0.25 day and after 20 days) initialized from four different ICs. (a)–(c) Optimized ICs. (d)–(f) Averaged $\Psi_{c^{tu}}$ ICs. (g)–(i) Spin-up (frozen tracer) ICs. (j)–(l) Spin-up (nudged tracer) ICs. Results include: the two reduced physics, optimized and averaged, ICs better maintain Kuroshio.

15

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. D.7. As for Fig. D.6, b comparing the 100 m temperature fields. Results include: adjustment differences between hindcasts with optimized and averaged ICs appear by 0.25 day off northern coast aiwan and advect into Kuroshio; much larger differences 1–2 °C between optimized and spin-up hindcasts. Errors continue to grow throughout the 20 simulation days.

⁹³⁴ second allowing the tracers to vary during the spin-up but nudged ⁹³⁵ to their ICs at the boundaries (Fig. D.5(d)). Both the optimized IC ⁹³⁶ and the IC using averaged $\Psi_{C^{lu}}$ (Fig. D.5(a) and (b)) show a defined ⁹³⁷ Kuroshio current. The spin-up ICs after 12.5 days of adjustment do ⁹³⁸ not show nearly as well-defined Kuroshio currents, even though their KEs have stabilized by then (Fig. D.5(e)). Also shown in Fig. D.5(e) (re) the KE from the unforced simulations from the reduced physics ICs. The optimized and averaged $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ ICs show a much more uniform KE history over the simulation, indicating that the reduced physics ICs were near one attracting dynamic

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

944 equilibria of the PE dynamics for that region and period. The spinup solutions have KEs with large oscillations for a long duration 945 946 before settling into different attracting regime (with larger KE). 947 The larger KE in spin-up solutions are reflected in over estimates 948 of currents and eddies away from the Kuroshio. That a nonlinear 949 PE model can have multiple (dynamic) equilibria should come as 950 no surprise, even relatively simple nonlinear systems can have multiple equilibria (Dijkstra and Katsman, 1997; Simonnet et al., 951 952 2009; Sapsis et al., 2013).

Forced hindcast simulations, starting from 5 Aug 2009, from 953 these ICs were made using the MSEAS PE model (Appendix A and 954 HL10) with atmospheric fluxes from NOGAPS and barotropic tides 955 created using Logutov and Lermusiaux (2008) with boundary Con-956 ing from OTIS (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002). Fig. D.6 shows he 957 958 100 m velocities from these simulations. After 20 days, the simulations from the reduced physics ICs (Fig. D.6(c) and)) maintain defined Kuroshio currents and develop a loop branching to the strait 959 960 961 of Luzon. The spin-up from frozen tracers develops a better defined Kuroshio in the interior but not at the inflow and outflow bound-962 aries of the don (Fig. D.6(i)). The Kuroshio in the spin-up from nudged tracers loses coher (Fig. D.6(l)). Fig. D.7 stop a com-parison of the 100 m tempure between these hindcasts. The 963 964 965 100 m T of the simulation from optimized ICs is shown in 966 Fig. D.7(a)–(c). Differences between 100 m T from the run using 967 averaged $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ ICs with the 100 m T from the run using optimized 968 ICs a Fig. D.7(d)–(f). Larger (0.25 °C) differences appear in ini-969 tial au_{jus} tment (0.25 d, Fig. D.7(e)) off the NE coast of Taiwan. These differences advect off Taiwan m_{jus} lead to differences in the 970 971 972 Kuroshio of 0.1–0.2 ° C. The simulations from spin-up ICs showed larger differences, $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} C$ for the spin-up from "frozen" tracers (Fig. D.7(g)-(i)) and $1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} 2 \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} C$ for the spin-up in which tracers were 973 allowed to vary (Fig. D.7(j)–(l)). e differences grew throughout 976 the 20 day simulation.

977 We compare the hindcasts to independent T data from sea gliders (Gawarkiewicz et al., 2011) repositioned in the Kuroshio off the 978 coast of Tai (Fig. D.8(a) and (b)) during 19–22 August 2009, 2 weeks internet simulations. Temperature RMS errors (averaged 979 980 along the glider tracks, Fig. D.8(c)) w that the hindcasts from the optimized and averaged $\Psi_{c^{iu}}$ have significantly smaller 981 982 983 errors than did the hindcasts from spin-up ICs. Along-track temperature differences between the hindcasts from optimized ICs 984 and the glider data are show Fig. D.8(d). Similar difference sections are shown for the other mindcasts (Fig. D.8(e)–(g)), Conjonly 985 986 where these differences exceed the differences in the optimized 987 988 run. The optimized ICs are better than all other simulations almost 989 everywhere.

990 4.3. Philippine Archipelago

974

97

991 For further evaluation of our methodology, we turn to the Philippine Archipelago region during February 2-March 20, 2009, 992 993 as part of the Philippine Straits Dynamics Experiment (PhilEx; Gordon and Villanoy, 2011; Lermusiaux et al., 2011). We consider a 1656×1503 km domain (Fig. D.9) as is discretized with 9 km horizontal resolution and 70 vertical levels in a generalized coordi-994 995 996 nate system. The resulting geometry is complex, with 30 interior 997 islands, 2 exterior coasts and numerous straits. A 2 Feb 2009 ini-998 999 tialization is created using the February WOA05 climatology 1000 (Locarnini et al., 2006; Antonov et al., 2006) mapped with the FMM-based OA (Agarwal and Lermusiaux, 2011). The $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ is con-1001 1002 structed using a combination of (i) velocities in geostrophic bal-1003 ance with a 1000 m LNM, (ii) velocity anomalies derived from 1004 SSH anomaly (CCAR; Leben et al., 2002) using Eq. (21) vertically 1005 extended with a 400 m Gaussian decay scale, (iii) feature model 1006 velocities for the bottom currents through the Mindoro

(12N, 120.75E) and Dipolog (9N, 123E) Straits, and, (iv) at the open 1007 boundaries, transports from the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model 1008 (HYCOM; Bleck, 2002; Hurlburt et al., 2011). When using feature 1009 models for straits, care is needed to ensure the transports enter 1010 and exit through ∂D , rather than close in the interior of D. Based 1011 on literature estimates the flow originated a mid-level jet in the 1012 South China Sea (SCS; 15N, 120E) and broadly exited the domain 1013 in the Mindanao current in the Pacific (7N, 123E). To model this 1014 we added a feature model jet in the SCS and a boundary outflow 1015 velocity in the Pacific: 1016 1017

 $u_{\rm FM} = u_{\rm Mindoro} + u_{\rm Dipolog} + u_{\rm SCS} + u_{\rm boundary outflow}$

and use Eq. (5) to smoothly join the pieces. The HYCOM transports are divided by bathymetry of our modeling domain to produce barotropic velocities, which are then added to the velocities from (i)-(iii) at the open boundaries of the modeling domain. This procedure puts the HYCOM transports directly into Ψ_{h^e} (Eq. (10)) and uses the optimizing Eq. (5) to extend these boundary transports into the interior, consistent with our bathymetry and coastlines. Applying the simple bathymetry constraints leads to $\vec{u}_{(0)}$. Following with the level-by-level coastal constraints results in $\vec{u}_{(1)}$, which is interpolated to generalized coordinates and used to construct $H\vec{U}_{(0)}$ (Eq. (1)).

We start by comparing in Fig. (D.9) the elds Ψ and $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ estimated using island values, $\Psi_{C^{u}}$, obtained by our optimization (Eq. (15)) to those estimated using $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ obtained by averaging of $\Psi_{(0)}$ along the islands (Eq. (13)). In the broad strokes, the solution obtained from avera (i) (Fig. D.9(b) and (d)) agrees with that obtained from the optimization (Fig. D.9(a) a ()). This can be attributed to the constraints imposed by the sort and HYCOM transports on the overall solution and by bathymetry constraints on the currents (e.g. the Northern Equatorial Current, NEC, which has already split into northern and southern branches by the time it enters the eastern boundary of our domain, remains east of the archipelago, following the Philippines escarpment). However, looking at differe (Fig. D.9(b) and (d)), we see significant updates in how currents circulate the Archipelago in the two solutions. The solution obtained from averaged $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ suffers from over estimates of the sub-tidal transports in many of the straits (near the northern end of the island of Palawan (12N, 120E); in the Balabac Strait (7N, 117E), Surigao Strait (10.5 N, 126E), Sibutu Strait (5N, 120E) and Zamboanga Strait (5N,122E); and between the islands of Panay and Negros (12N,123E)): peak barotropic velocities reach 110 cm/s. The solution obtained using optimized $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ reduces the peak barotropic velocity to 48 cm/s (around Borneo (5N, 119E), eastern Sulu Archipelago (6N, 122E) and northern end of Palawan).

4.3.1. Optimization weights and velocity limits

We now consider the effects of different choices for the weights $(\varpi_{nm}^{uu}, \varpi_{nk}^{uc} \text{ and } \varpi_{nb}^{uo})$ in the island optimization as well as the effects of including velocity limits. In Fig. D.9(c), resented $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ computed using Ψ_{ciu} obtained by our optimization with weights equal 1057 1058 to the reciprocal of the square of the minimum cross-sectional area 1059 between the islands obtained via FMM, i.e. $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = (\mathcal{A}_{global \ min} / \mathcal{A}_{nm})^2$, 1060 similarly for ϖ_{nk}^{uc} and ϖ_{nb}^{uo} . To this, we compare the $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ computed 1061 using $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{iu}}}$ obtained by our optimization but weighted by the 1062 squared-reciprocal of the minimum Euclidean distance (d_{Fnm}^2) 1063 between the islands, i.e. $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = (d_{Eglobal min}/d_{nm})^2$, similarly for ϖ_{nk}^{uc} 1064 and ϖ_{nb}^{uo} and weighted by the squared reciprocal of the minimum 1065 in-water distance computed by FMM, i.e. $\varpi_{nm}^{uu} = (d_{global min}/d_{nm})^2$, 1066 similarly for ϖ_{nk}^{uc} and ϖ_{nb}^{uo} . Both distance weightings produce very 1067 similar currents to each other and increase the peak barotropic 1068 velocity to 58 cm/s. This strong similarity between the two 1069

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029





(a) Sea glider positions colored by time



(b) Glider T data cross sections along SG165, SG166, SG167 (separated by black lines)



(c) RMS T errors for 4 hindcasts



Fig. D.8. Comparing temperature from the 4 hindcasts shown on Fig. D.6 and D.7 to pendent in situ data from 3 Sea Gliders at 2 weeks into the simulations. (a) and (b) Glider positions and data. (c) Along-track RMS errors for 4 hindcasts. (d)–(g) Along-track response of the simulation of the simulati only where they are larger than the differences of the hindcast from our optimized ICs. This hindcast shows best match to data, on average and almost everywhere.

distance-weighted solutions is because the two distance measures, 1070 are the same for neighboring islands (with the largest weights) 1071 1072 while they generally differ most for the widest separated islands (with the least weight). To see the updates between these two dis-1073 tance-weighted solutions and the area weighted solution, we consider the two difference field (Fig. D.10(a) and (b)). The largest 1074 1075 updates are in the Sibutu Strait, Balabac Strait, Visayan sea 1076 1077 (11N, 123E) and Surigao Strait.

We illustrate the velocity limiting option by limiting the target 1078 1079 transports between islands and coasts with a maximum average barotropic velocity of 5 cm/s. The resulting solution slightly 1080 1081 reduced the peak barotropic velocity to 44 cm/s. The differences

between the solutions with and without velocity limiting (Fig. D.10(c)) show that the largest differences are in the Sibutu Strait, Balabac Strait, northern Sibuyan sea (13N, 122E), Surigao Strait and eastern Sulu Archipelago.

4.3.2. Imposing inter-island transports

We now utilize and illustrate our optimization method (Table 2) but turning on the option of imposing externally obtained transports between pairs of islands, Eq. (17). Specifically, Gordon et al. (2011) estimate mean westward transports through the Dipolog (9N, 123E) and Surigao (10.5N, 126E) Straits of 0.5 Sv and 0.3 Sv, respectively, using moorings (15 months deployment, Jan 2008-

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

1093 Mar 2009) and ADCP from several cruises (Jun 2007, Jan 2008 and 1094 Mar 2009). For the much smaller subset period 2 Feb-25 Mar 2009, 1095 Lermusiaux et al., 2011 estimate a mean 0.77 Sv westward trans-1096 port through Dipolog with a 1.4 Sv standard deviation (Fig. D.7e). During 2–8 Feb 2009, they find that the mean transport through 1097 Dipolog is reversed (mean eastward transport of 0.7 Sv and an ini-1098 1099 tial eastward transport of 1.1 Sv) in response to the northeast monsoon (May et al., 2011). Hence we choose here as an extreme test to 1100 impose the Gordon et al. (2011) 15-month-average transports in 1101 an updated Feb 2 initialization. Of course, these 15-month averages 1102 are not expected to be accurate for the single-day 2 Feb 2009 trans-1103 1104 ports, we merely use them as a test of our method: the average and single-day transport estimates are within the variability and so are 1105 representative of the kinds of changes the method should be able 1106 1107 to handle. The questions we wish to answer are: (a) can the 1108 method impose these values? and (b) if so, are the transports 1109 through the remaining straits still sensible? For the first question.

we ran our optimization with a wide range of weights, shown in 1110 Table 3. From this we see that these specific transports can be 1111 imposed if the weights are large enough (increase the FMM 1112 weights by a factor 100 for Surigao and by a factor of 1000-1113 10000 for Dipolog). To answer the second question, the barotropic 1114 velocities resulting from the imposed transports are shown in 1115 Fig. D.11 (1) the PhilEx domain previously shown and two nested 1116 sub-domains with 3 km resolution. The first is a $\frac{552 \times 519}{519}$ km 1117 domain covering the Mindoro Strait and the Sibuyan and Visayan 1118 seas. The second is a $\frac{895 \times 303}{1000}$ km domain covering the Bohol 1119 Sea (9N, 125E). The number and distribution of generalized vertical 1120 levels in both sub-domains is identical to the 9 km domain, 1121 although the bathymetry is refined. Even though the transports 1122 are reversed through Dipolog and Surigao, the barotropic velocities 1123 elsewhere remain sensible (peak values remain less than 50 cm/s 1124 in all domains), confirming that such reversal could occur in the 1125 real ocean. Looking at the differences between the solution with 1126



Fig. D.9. Philippines Archipelago. Comparison of initializations computed using $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ obtained via our optimization methodology (Eq. (15)) to those obtained via an averaging method (Eq. (13)). (a) and (b) maps of Ψ . (c) and (d) maps of $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ magnitudes overlaid with vectors. (Note (d) is a zoom of the regions with the largest differences.) Optimizing island values removes excessive transports in various straits.

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

and without imposed transports (Fig. <u>D.11(b)</u>), we ee the changes are as expected. The flows are reversed in the two straits as imposed. The imposition of a larger transport through Dipolog than Surigao draws additional transport through the San Bernadino strait (<u>12N, 124E</u>) and the Visayan Sea. The added transport through Dipolog into the Sulu Sea (<u>7.5N, 120E</u>) exits through the Sulu Archipelago. Elsewhere the changes are negligible.

1134 4.3.3. Nesting strategies

1135 We now exemplify our optimized initialization for use in nested 1136 multi-resolution simulations (HL10). To ensure consistency 1137 between a coarse and fine solution, we obtain the BCs at the outer 1138 boundary of the fine domain by interpolation from the coarse 1139 domain solution (i.e. we by-pass Eq. (10) the "Construct Exterior



Testing weights for imposing inter-island transports. Our island optimization scheme is employed with the imposition of inter-island transports, Eq. (17). Here, we impose westward transports of 0.5 Sv through the Dipolog Strait and 0.3 Sv through the Surigao Strait. The resulting transports from calculations using different weights are compared to the default values, $\varpi_{mn}^{u} = (A_{global} \min/A_{nm})^2$. For Dipolog $\varpi_{mn}^{u} = 2.19 \times 10^{-3}$ while for Surigao $\varpi_{mn}^{u} = 2.29 \times 10^{-2}$.

Weights for imposing inter-island transports	Westward transports (Sv)	
	Dipolog	Surigao
	-1.1	-0.63
ϖ_{nm}^{uu}	-0.60	-0.20
$10 \ \varpi_{nm}^{uu}$	-0.18	0.26
100 $\overline{\sigma}_{nm}^{uu}$	0.34	0.30
1000 $\overline{\varpi}_{nm}^{uu}$	0.48	0.30
10000 \overline{w}_{nm}^{uu}	0.50	0.30



(a) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ difference Euclidean $(d_{Eglobal\ min}/d_{Enm})^2$ - FMM $(\mathcal{A}_{global\ min}/\mathcal{A}_{nm})^2$



(b) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ difference FMM $(d_{global\ min}/d_{nm})^2$ - FMM $(\mathcal{A}_{global\ min}/\mathcal{A}_{nm})^2$





Fig. D.10. Differences between $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ constructed using three weighting schemes in the Philippines and the reference result using our FMM $\sigma_{nm}^{uu} = (A_{global} min/A_{nm})^2$ (shown on D.9(c)); maps of magnitudes overlaid with vectors, restricted to the region of the largest differences. Our FMM area weightings reduces spurious large velocities in various straits. Adding velocity limiting further reduces the velocities in especially problematic straits.

Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

19

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



(a) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ (cm/s) in 9 km domain for the Philippine Archipelago



(b) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ (cm/s) difference (imposed - not imposed). Only showing region of large differences



Fig. D.11. $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ after imposing transports of 0.5 Sv through Dipolog Strait (9 N,123E) and 0.3 Sv through Surigao Strait (10.5 N,126E), maps of $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ magnitudes overlaid with $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ vectors. Using the maximum weights of Table 3, the desired transports are imposed, resulting in the reversal of the transports through these straits. The imposition of a larger transport through Dipolog than Surigao draws additional transport through the San Bernadino strait and the Visayan Sea. The added transport through Dipolog into the Sulu Sea exits through the Sulu Archipelago. Elsewhere the changes are negligible.

1140 BCs" step of Table 2 and instead interpolate the coarse-domain Ψ 1141 to obtain the fine domain Ψ_{b^c} values). Here we explore how much 1142 of the additional information from the coarse domain (i.e. inter-is-1143 land transports) should be included in the fine domain solution.

1143 We consider the 3 km Mindoro Strait domain nested within our 1144 1145 larger 9 km domain. In Fig. D.12, v min on the southeast por-1146 tion of our nested sub-domain, encompassing the Sibuyan sea. Fig. D.12(a) shows the $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in the 9 km domain obtained with our 114 optimization scheme (Table 2) including the velocity limiting 1148 option with an imposed maximum 80 cm/s target average 1149 barotropic velocity. Fig. D.12(b) s the final $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in the 3 km domain. We compare this final result with a couple of different 1150 1151 1152 strategies. The first was to not only use the 9 km solution for 1153 BCs, Ψ_{b^e} , at the outer boundary of the 3 km domain, but to also

retain the transport streamfunction values along the islands that 1154 are also resolved in the larger domain (e.g. Mindoro 13N, 121E; 1155 Panay 11N, 123E). This occurs in two steps (i) these values of Ψ_{C^c} 1156 are included in the "certain coast solution" (Eq. (12) and Table 2) 1157 and (ii) these islands are included in the set of coastlines with 1158 known streamfunction values. The intent is to ensure a greater 1159 consistency between the initial coarse and fine domain fields. 1160 The difference between this strategy and the final strategy is 1161 shown in Fig. D.12(d). nintended consequence of retaining 1162 the 9 km island values is an increase in $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in certain channels 1163 due to the increased coastal and bathymetry resolution of the fine 1164 domain. In particular, the peak $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in the Verde Island passage 1165 between Mindoro and Luzon (13.5N, 121E) increases from 17 cm/ 1166 s in the coarse domain to 50 cm/s in the fine. 1167

1 March 2015

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. D.12. Testing different strategies for initializing nested sub-domains in the Philippines. Shown are maps the magnitudes of $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ (cm/s) overlaid with $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ vectors. (a) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in coarse (9 km) domain. (b) $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in fine (3 km) domain, in which all island values are recomputed in fine domain using velocity limits (Section 3.2.2). (d) Difference between $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in fine (3 km) domain retaining island values from coarse domain (for inter-domain consistency) and $\vec{U}_{(1)fine}$. $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in Verde Island passage (13.5N, 121E) increases from 17 cm/s to 50 cm/s due to reduced cross-section area from refined coasts and bathymetry. (d) Difference between $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ in fine (3 km) domain without imposing velocity limits and $\vec{U}_{(1)fine}$. $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ reduces in Verde Island passage from 50 to 30 cm/s but increases $\vec{U}_{(1)}$ to 30 cm/s at southern tip of Mindoro (12 N, 121.25E).

To reduce these velocities, we allow our optimization algorithm 1168 to work on all the islands in the fine domain: the streamfunction 1169 values on all islands are then assumed uncertain. The OBCs are still 1170 obtained by interpolation from the 9 km domain. Fig. D.12(d) 1171 1172 shows the difference between this strategy and the final one. Optimizing these island values for the fine domain reduces the peak 1173 1174 barotropic velocity in the Verde Island passage to 30 cm/s, but increases it to 30 cm/s at the southern tip of Mindoro 1175 1176 (12.25N, 121E). When we add velocity limits to the optimization (keeping the interpolated OBCs, our final strategy), we obtain the results shown on Fig. D.12(b): peak barotropic velocities are brought down to 20 cm/s in the verde Island passage and 10 cm/s 1177 1178 1179 at the southern tip of Mindoro. This shows that for nested initializa-1180 tion, our weak-constraint optimization algorithm should be used 1181 1182 for all islands, adding local weak velocity bounds as needed. The 1183 results are then well adjusted fine domain fields that still match 1184 the coarse domain solution at the boundaries of the fine domain.

1185 **5. Summary and conclusions**

In this manuscript, we derived and applied a methodology for the efficient semi-analytical initialization of 3D velocity and transport fields in coastal regions with multiscale dynamics and complex multiply-connected geometries, including islands and archipelagos. These fields are consistent with the synoptic observations available, geometry, free-surface PE dynamics and any other relevant information to evolve without spurious initial transients. They can be directly used for model initialization or as an improved initial guess for a variational scheme.

Our weighted least squares optimization starts from first-guess sub-tidal velocity fields that satisfy simple bathymetric constraints. To obtain the exact solutions for the first correction velocities which best fit these first-guesses while satisfying no-normal flow into complex coastlines and bathymetry, we derive successive level-by-level (layer-by-layer) Euler-Lagrange equations for the interior, boundary and island streamfunction variables. These new equations are: (i) a Poisson equation for a streamfunction representation of the velocity; (ii) a 1D Poisson equation along the external boundary for the Dirichlet OBCs which best fit the firstguess flow through the open boundaries; and (iii) robust algebraic equations for selecting constant values for the streamfunction along the uncertain islands, best-fitting the first-guess values using weights that are functions of minimum ocean distances or cross sectional areas, both computed by FMM. A second correction is derived for cases where the full 3D dynamics is critical, employing a predictor-corrector algorithm to fit the no-normal flow constraints in 3D. The first guess sub-tidal transport is computed from either the first or second guess velocities as appropriate. A first correction transport is then computed using steps (i)-(iii) derived for transport. Additional information on the transport and velocity fields is also incorporated as weak or strong constraints, including for example specific net transports between coasts or weak upper and lower bounds on the barotropic velocity in specific straits.

We applied our methodology in three regions: (i) around the Hawaiian islands of Kauai/Niihau (ii) the Taiwan/Kuroshio region, 1193

1194

1195

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

1221 and (iii) in the Philippines Archipelago. In the Hawaiian study, four 1222 day simulations from 3 initializations were compared: (i) starting 1223 from our optimized ICs (ii) from ICs using averaged Ψ_{ciu} and (iii) from spin-up ICs. If our optimization is not used, both the ICs 1224 and the initial adjustment simulations from the ICs over estimate 1225 1226 the transport between the islands. Our optimization produced a 1227 current which was primarily around Kauai/Niihau rather than 1228 between them, in accord with historical observations. The erro-1229 neous transports led to large Q(1-1.5 °C) differences in tem-1230 perature. These temperature differences grew as the simulations 1231 progressed (i.e. initial velocity errors were transferred to tracer 1232 errors). In the Taiwan-Kuroshio region, we compared four initial-1233 izations and their subsequent evolutions, starting from (i) our optimized ICs, (ii) ICs using averaged $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$, (iii) spin-up with fixed TS 1234 and (iv) spin-up allowing TS to vary but nudged to ICs at the open 1235 boundaries. Neither of the spin-up ICs led to as well-developed 1236 1237 Kuroshio currents as (i) or (ii) did, even after the spin-up KEs grew 1238 and stabilized around an erroneous "attractor regime". However, 1239 the KEs from the unforced runs of (i) and (ii) showed a KE history 1240 quasi-steady at the optimized value. The forced 20-day hindcasts 1241 confirmed the advantages of initializing from our optimized velo-1242 cities, including better representations of the Kuroshio. The quan-1243 titative evaluation of these hindcasts by comparison with independent in situ data after 2 weeks of simulation showed by 1244 far the largest errors in the hindcasts from spin-up while our opti-1245 mized ICs produced the best match. 1246

1247 The third region was the multiply-connected Philippines 1248 Archipelago. The solution obtained from the averaging method suf-1249 fered from over estimates of the transports in many of the straits while our optimized solution produced realistic peak sub-tidal 1250 1251 barotropic velocities. We also evaluated the effects of different weighting functions and showed that using weights based on the 1252 1253 minimum cross-sectional areas among islands (computed by 1254 FMM) was the most adequate. We tested the effects of including 1255 weak upper bounds on velocities and found that optimized results 1256 were in accord with the bounds chosen. We also showed that our 1257 option of weakly imposing externally obtained transports between 1258 pairs of islands could reverse the initial flows through the Dipolog 1259 and Surigao Straits if the corresponding weights were strong enough. This example was used to show that transports through 1260 1261 these straits could also reverse in reality since their reversals 1262 retained sensible velocities and expected currents elsewhere. Finally, we studied our optimized nested initialization schemes 1263 to use in multi-resolution simulations. Since the multi-resolution 1264 1265 domains have different bathymetries, coastlines, islands, flow features and dynamics, we found that the best approach was to let our 1266 1267 optimization algorithm work on all islands and flows between 1268 islands, only imposing the cross-scale information as strong con-1269 straints on the boundary and applying weak bounds on the average 1270 barotropic velocity where needed. The result is then well adjusted 1271 multi-resolution initial velocity fields, consistent at all scales with-1272 in and across the nested domains.

1273 We have found that our optimization, particularly the weak constraint towards the minimum inter-island transport that is in 1274 accord with the first-guess velocities (Eq. (15)), provides important 1275 1276 velocity corrections in complex archipelagos. This was found to be 1277 critical where the available data did not resolve the bathymet-1278 ric/coastal effects. The velocity corrections from our methodology 1279 optimized the kinetic energy locally, eliminating unrealistic hot-1280 spots, while respecting continuity constraints and the boundary 1281 conditions for multiple islands and tortuous coastlines. When opti-1282 mizing transports, weighting functions that lead to the minimiza-1283 tion of barotropic velocity differences are found to be more robust and to better control velocities than those that lead to the 1284 1285 minimization of transport differences. In all of the examples

(2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

shown, it is key to realize that in complex domains without our optimization, the initial fields were too erroneous and unbalanced. We confirmed that such errors can damage predictions for future times.

For the future, there are many opportunities for refinement and application of our methodology. For the refinements, even though our approach is independent of the discretization employed, other discretizations (Deleersnijder et al., 2010; Ueckermann and Lermusiaux, 2010; Lermusiaux et al., 2013) may have specific challenges. Different weighting and cost functions can be researched, for example specific functions for non-hydrostatic flow initialization. Considering applications to other regions and dynamics, a promising example is the downscaling of climate predictions to initialize simulations in complex coastal regions, including sealevel change implications. Real-time optimized initialization for rapid responses operations to specific events or for other societal applications are useful directions. Finally, ocean ecosystem initialization (Besiktepe et al., 2003) as well as other multi-model and multi-dynamics applications should be further investigated.

Acknowledgments

Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell.

We are grateful to the Office of Naval Research for research sup- 95 port under Grants N00014-08-1-109 (ONR6.1), N00014-08-1-0680 (PLUS-INP), N00014-08-1-0586 (QPE), N00014-07-1-0473 (PhilEx), N00014-09-1-0676 (Autonomy), N00014-11-1-0701 (MURI-IODA), N00014-12-1-0944 (ONR6.2) and N00014-13-1-0518 (Multi-DA), and to the Naval Research Laboratory for research support under Grant N00173-13-2-C009 to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. We are thankful to Wayne G. Leslie, Carlos Lozano and to the MSEAS group for useful inputs and discussions. We are grateful to the QPE, PLUS-INP and PhilEx teams for their fruitful collaborations. We thank C. Lee for providing sea glider data and B. Leben and CCAR for providing SSH anomaly data. We thank the three anonymous reviewers and the associate editor for their useful suggestions.

Appendix A. Ocean modeling primitive equations and the MSEAS modeling system

Free-surface primitive equations (PEs). The equations are derived 1322 from the Navier-Stokes equations and first law of thermodynamics 1323 and conservation of salt, under the Boussinesq, thin-layer and hydrostatic approximations (e.g. Cushman-Roisin and Beckers, 2010). They consist of,

Cons. Mass $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$, (A.1)

Cons. Horiz. Mom.
$$\frac{Du}{Dt} + f\hat{k} \times \vec{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla p + \vec{F},$$
 (A.2)

Cons. Vert. Mom.
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -\rho g,$$
 (A.3)

Cons. Heat
$$\frac{DI}{Dt} = F^{I}$$
, (A.4)

Cons. Salt
$$\frac{DS}{Dt} = F^5$$
, (A.5)

Eq. of state
$$\rho = \rho(z, 1, 5),$$
 (A.b)

Free Surface
$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\int_{-H}^{+} \vec{u} \, dz \right) = 0$$
 (A.7) 1329

where: (\vec{u}, w) are horizontal and vertical components of velocity; 1330 (x, y, z) spatial positions; t time; T temperature; S salinity; $\frac{D}{Dt}$ three-1331 dimensional material derivative; p pressure; f Coriolis parameter; ρ 1332 density, ρ_0 (constant) density from a reference state; g acceleration 1333 due to gravity; η surface elevation, H = H(x, y) local water depth in 1334

1286

Q6 6

1307

1303

1304

> 1316 1317 1318

> 1319

1320 1321

1324 1325

1326 1327

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

23

1397

1400

1401

1402 1403

1405

1406

1407 1408

1411 1412

1414

1415

1416 1417

1420

1421

1422

1423 1424

1427

1428

1429

1430 1431

1437 1438

1440

1441

1442 1443

1446

1447

1448

1449 1450

1453

1454 1455

the undisturbed ocean; and, \hat{k} unit direction vector in the vertical direction. The gradient operators, ∇ , in Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) are two dimensional (horizontal) operators. The turbulent sub-gridscale processes are represented by \vec{F} , F^T and F^S .

1339 MSEAS modeling system. The above equations are numerically integrated using the finite-volume structured ocean model 1340 (HL10) of the multidisciplinary simulation, estimation and 1341 assimilation system ASgroup, 2010). MSEAS is used to study and quantify tidal-to socale processes over regional domains 1342 1343 with complex geometries and varied interactions. Modeling capa-1344 bilities include implicit two-way nesting for multiscale hydrostatic 1345 1346 PE dynamics with a nonlinear free-surface (HL10) and a high-order 1347 finite element code on unstructured grids for non-hydrostatic 1348 processes also with a nonlinear free-surface (Ueckermann and Lermusiaux, 2010, submitted for publication). er MSEAS sub-systems include: initialization schemes, nester uta-assimilative 1349 1350 1351 tidal prediction and inversion (Logutov and Lermusiaux, 2008); fast-marching coastal objective analysis (Agarwal 1352 Lermusiaux, 2011); stochastic subgrid-scale models 1353 (e.g., 1354 Lermusiaux, 2006; Phadnis, 2013); generalized adaptable biogeochemical modeling system: Lagrangian Coherent Structures; non-1355 Gaussian data assimila on and adaptive sampling (Sondergaard and Lermusiaux, 2013; Lermusiaux, 2007); dynamically-orthogo-1356 1357 nal equations for uncertainty predictions (Sapsis and Lermusiaux, 1358 2009, 2012; Ueckermann et al., 2013); and machine learning of 1359 1360 model formulations. The MSEAS software is used for basic and fun-1361 damental research and for realistic simulations and predictions in 1362 varied regions of the world's ocean (Leslie et al., 2008; Onken et al., 1363 2008: Halev et al., 2009: Gangopadhyav et al., 2011: Ramp et al., 1364 2011; Colin et al., 2013), including monitoring (Lermusiaux et al., 1365 2007), naval exercises including real-time acoustic-ocean predictions (Xu et al., 2008) and environmental management (Cossarini 1366 1367 et al., 2009).

Appendix B. Retaining vertical velocity for 3D effects and more complicated bathymetry constraints

1370 In this appendix, we deal with cases in which desired velocity 1371 properties are fully 3D, including both horizontal and vertical com-1372 ponents (e.g. velocities from a dynamical simulation with its own 1373 3D balance, feature models for flows over sills, geostrophic-1374 Ekman balance with bottom interaction) and are of sufficient 1375 resolution to contain meaningful estimates of $w_{(0)}$. For hydrostatic 1376 PEs, this vertical velocity comes in through the 2D divergence of 1377 the horizontal velocity. However, in Section 3 the algorithms 1378 obtained for fitting the 3D velocities and horizontal transports to 1379 the geometry enforce a layer-by-layer 2D non-divergence in the 1380 chosen vertical discretization. (For non-hydrostatic PEs, one still 1381 desires ICs which satisfy continuity.) Hence we now derive a pre-1382 dictor/corrector method to recover the non-zero 2D divergence of the horizontal velocities when that divergence contains a suffi-1383 ciently meaningful estimate of $w_{(0)}$. The predictor is the first cor-1384 rection velocity estimate, $\vec{u}_{(1)}$, that satisfies the 2D level-by-level 1385 1386 constraints. The corrector is a velocity correction, $\Delta \vec{u}$, to recover the nonzero 2D divergences. $\Delta \vec{u}$ best fits the difference $\vec{u}_{(1)} - \vec{u}_{(0)}$ 1387 under the no-normal flow constraint in 3D (thereby recovering 1388 $w_{(0)}$ via vertical integration of continuity equation (A.2)). The result 1389 is the second correction velocity, $\vec{u}_{(2)} = \vec{u}_{(1)} + \Delta \vec{u}$ which recovers 1390 the first guess vertical velocity, $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_{(2)} \approx -\frac{\partial w_{(0)}}{\partial z}$, subject to 1391 1392 constraints.

1393 Let $\vec{u}_{(2)}$ be the second correction velocity which best fits the 1394 first-guess velocity, $\vec{u}_{(0)}$, while satisfying no-normal flow and 1395 retaining the non-zero 2D divergence. By the Helmholtz decompo-1396 sition, $\vec{u}_{(2)}$ can be written as

$$\vec{u}_{(2)} = \left(\hat{k} \times \nabla \psi\right) + \nabla \phi \tag{B.1}$$
1399

where ψ is a level-by-level streamfunction and ϕ is a level-by-level velocity potential. $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ best fits $\vec{u}_{(0)}$ while satisfying no-normal flow and

$$ec{u}_{(1)} = \hat{k} imes
abla \psi$$

We choose $\vec{u}_{(1)}$ as the predictor for $\vec{u}_{(2)}$ and define the corrector, $\Delta \vec{u}$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \vec{u} &= \vec{u}_{(2)} - \vec{u}_{(1)} \\ &= \nabla \phi \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$
 1410

$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} = \vec{u}_{(0)} - \vec{u}_{(1)} \tag{B.3}$$

the weighted least squares cost function, J_{div} , to recover the divergence is

$$J_{di\nu}(\Delta \vec{\tilde{u}}) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \left\| \Delta \vec{\tilde{u}} - \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \right\|^{2} da$$
$$\iff J_{di\nu}(\tilde{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \left\| \nabla \tilde{\phi} - \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \right\|^{2} da$$
(B.4) 1419

where $\Delta \vec{u}$ is any test velocity corrector, ϕ the corresponding test velocity potential, ω_{ϕ} a positive definite weighting function and da an area element. To find the ϕ that minimizes J_{div} , variational calculus is employed:

$$J_{di\nu}(\phi + \delta\phi) = J_{di\nu}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \|\nabla(\delta\phi)\|^{2} da - \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \delta\phi\nabla$$
$$\cdot \left[\omega_{\phi}(\nabla\phi - \Delta\vec{u}_{(0)})\right] da$$
$$+ \oint_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \delta\phi(\nabla\phi - \Delta\vec{u}_{(0)}) \cdot \hat{n} ds \qquad (B.5)$$

The potential ϕ will minimize $J_{di\nu}$ provided the second and third integrals in Eq. (B.5) are zero. Applying the fundamental theorem of variational calculus, these integrals will be identically zero for ϕ satisfying

$$\nabla \cdot (\omega_{\phi} \nabla \phi) = \nabla \cdot (\omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)}) \tag{B.6}$$
 1433

$$\nabla \phi \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$$
(B.7)
1434
1434
1434

To enforce no flow through coasts, $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nv)}$ is defined as

$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{n} \Big|_{coasts} = 0$$

$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{t} \Big|_{coasts} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{t} \Big|_{coasts}$$
(B.8)

$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \vec{\iota}|_{coasts} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \vec{\iota}|_{coasts}$$
$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \quad \text{elsewhere}$$

where \hat{t} is the unit tangent. Replacing $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0)}$ with $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)}$ in (B.7) results in

$$\nabla \phi \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$$
(B.9) 1445

As a check on the consistency of using (B.9) with (B.6), Eq. (B.6) is integrated over the domain, followed by an application of the divergence theorem, and a substitution from (B.9). The result is the solvability condition

$$\oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds \tag{B.10}$$
1452

Along the open boundaries, $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)}$ while along the coasts $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{n}$ is zero. Therefore, Eq. (B.10) reduces to

$$\int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds = 0 \tag{B.11}$$

1464

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

1458In general Eq. (B.11) is not satisfied. Therefore a "no net normal
flow" target velocity correction, $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}$ is sought which best fits1460 $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0)}$ while satisfying (B.11). The least squares cost function J_{nnp}
to fit $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}$ is

$$J_{nnp}(\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}; \lambda) = \int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} (\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{n} - \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n})^{2} ds$$
$$+ \lambda \int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{n} ds$$
(B.12)

1465 where λ is a Lagrange multiplier. To minimize Eq. (B.12) we take 1466 derivatives of J_{nnp} with respect to $\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}$ and λ and set them equal 1467 to zero:

$$\frac{\partial J_{nnp}}{\partial \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}} = \omega_{\phi} \left(\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{n} - \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) + \omega_{\phi} \lambda = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial J_{nnp}}{\partial \lambda} = \int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds = \mathbf{0}$$
(B.13)

1471 Solving the resulting system yields:

$$\Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{n}\big|_{coasts} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n}\big|_{coasts} - \frac{\int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds}{\int_{coasts} \omega_{\phi} \, ds} \tag{B.14}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} \cdot \hat{t} \big|_{coasts} &= \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{t} \big|_{coasts} \\ 1474 \qquad \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)} &= \Delta \vec{u}_{(0)} \quad \text{elsewhere.} \end{aligned}$

1475Substituting (B.14) in (B.6), results in the well-posed modified1476system

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\omega_{\phi} \nabla \phi\right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\omega_{\phi} \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,nnp)}\right) \tag{B.15}$$

1479 $\nabla \phi \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = \Delta \vec{u}_{(0,np)} \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$

1480The level-by-level solutions to (B.15) are substituted into (B.2),1481and solved for $\vec{u}_{(2)}$, which preserves no-normal flow in the final1482velocities:

1485
$$\vec{u}_{(2)} = \vec{u}_{(1)} + \nabla \phi$$
 (B.16)

1486 Appendix C. Free surface and tidal initialization

This appendix summarizes our scheme to create ICs consistent with the free surface and tides in complex domains. Some of this material is in Appendices 2.2 and 2.3 of HL10. Here we expand on details needed for the present work and apply the notation of this manuscript.

1492 C.1. Sub-tidal free surface

1509

Once velocities and transport are constrained for the model 1493 geometry, we need a sub-tidal free surface in dynamic balance 1494 with them. When initializing from another model output, the free 1495 surface should be directly available. When initializing from 1496 reduced dynamics, a consistent free surface needs to be construct-1497 1498 ed. Summarizing Appendix 2.2 of HL10, the reduced dynamical 1499 equation, with the free surface contribution made explicit, is integrated in the vertical (HL10 Eq. 67) and the divergence operator is 1500 applied to obtain a Poisson equation for $\eta_{(0)}$ (HL10 Eq. 68). Dirichlet 1501 OBCs are obtained by a tangential integral of the vertically inte-1502 1503 grated equation along the open boundaries. Along the coastlines, 1504 no-normal flow is enforced by applying zero Neumann conditions. The resulting system of equations is solved for $\eta_{(0)}$. To maintain the 1505 transport, the barotropic velocity is rescaled from 1506 1507

$$\vec{U}_{(2)} = \frac{H}{H + \eta_{(0)}} \vec{U}_{(1)} \tag{C.1}$$

If tides are not in initial fields, \vec{u}' , \vec{u} and w are constructed using Eqs. 1510 (C.4)–(C.6) but with $\eta_{(0)}$, $\vec{U}_{(2)}$ replacing $\eta_{(1)}$, $\vec{U}_{(3)}$ (\vec{u} still respects nonormal flow). 1512

C.2. Tides and other external forcing 1513

The final step of the initialization is to obtain the tidal free sur-1514 face and velocity, and add both to the sub-tidal fields computed 1515 above. Regional barotropic tidal fields are readily available (e.g., 1516 Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002, 2013) and if higher spatial resolutions 1517 are needed, finer inversions can be used (e.g., Logutov, 2008; 1518 Logutov and Lermusiaux, 2008). The barotropic tides, η_{tide} and 1519 \vec{U}_{tide} , are best-fit to a set of tidal fields under the constraints of sat-1520 isfying the exact discrete divergence relation of the model geome-1521 try and no-normal flow into coasts. The tidal elevations and 1522 transports are superimposed with the sub tidal counterparts con-1523 structed in Section C.1 1524 1525

$$\eta_{(1)} = \eta_{(0)} + \eta_{tide} \tag{C.2}$$

$$\vec{U}_{(3)} = \frac{H + \eta_{(0)}}{H + \eta_{(1)}} \vec{U}_{(2)} + \begin{cases} \frac{H}{H + \eta_{(1)}} \vec{U}_{tide} & \text{linear tidal model} \\ \frac{H + \eta_{tide}}{H + \eta_{(1)}} \vec{U}_{tide} & \text{nonlinear tidal model} \end{cases}$$
(C.3)
1530

1531

1532 1533

1535

1548

Finally these elevations and transports are combined with the chosen vertical shear and continuity to obtain the initial velocities:

$$\vec{u'} = \begin{cases} \vec{u}_{(2)} - \frac{1}{H + \eta_{(1)}} \int_{-H}^{\eta_{(1)}} \vec{u}_{(2)} dz & \text{if 3D constraints (see Appendix B)} \\ \vec{u}_{(1)} - \frac{1}{H + \eta_{(1)}} \int_{-H}^{\eta_{(1)}} \vec{u}_{(1)} dz & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(C.4)

$$u = u' + U_{(3)}$$
(C.5)
$$w = -\int_{-H}^{z} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \, d\zeta - (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla H)|_{z=-H}$$
(C.6)

With these choices for \vec{u} and w, the initial velocities will also 1536 satisfy 1537

$$\begin{split} w|_{z=\eta_{(1)}} &= \frac{\partial \eta_{tide}}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla \eta_{(1)}\right)\Big|_{z=\eta_{(1)}}; \quad w|_{z=-H} = -(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla H)|_{z=-H};\\ \frac{\partial \eta_{tide}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \int_{-H}^{\eta_{(1)}} \vec{u} \, dz = 0 \end{split}$$
1540

which represent the kinematic BCs at the top and bottom and the1541vertically integrated conservation of mass, all under the previously1542stated assumption that non-tidal temporal variations in the free1543surface are negligible. Note that for time-dependent BCs, the super-1544position of tidal and sub tidal components is also done, but with the1545sub-tidal components computed above and the tidal components1546evaluated in real time from an attached tidal model.1547

Appendix D. Derivations of cost functions

Here we briefly outline the derivation the cost functions and 1549 subsequent schemes for optimizing them. Details are in available 1550 in Haley et al. (2014). 1551

D.1. Evaluating full domain cost function, J, for variations around Ψ 1552

Substituting Eq. (3) or Eq. (4) in Eq. (2), and performing a bit of 1553 algebra to transfer the $\hat{k} \times$ term, we obtain for *J*, 1554

$$J(\widetilde{\Psi}) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega \left(\hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} + \nabla \widetilde{\Psi} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} + \nabla \widetilde{\Psi} \right) da.$$
 (D.1) (D.1)

Applying calculus of variations to obtain the Ψ that minimizes J 1558 yields 1559

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

$$J(\Psi + \delta \Psi) = J(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \omega \|\nabla(\delta \Psi)\|^2 da - \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \delta \Psi \nabla \bigcap_{\mathcal{D}} \delta \Psi \nabla \left[\omega \left(\nabla \Psi + \hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \right] da + \oint_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \omega \delta \Psi \left(\nabla \Psi + \hat{k} \times H \vec{U}_{(0)} \right) \cdot \hat{n} ds \qquad (D.2)$$

where ∂D is the boundary of the domain D. Ψ will minimize *I* pro-1563 vided the second and third integrals in Eq. (D.2) are zero for all per-1564 missible choices of $\delta \Psi$. The second integral will only be identically 1565 zero for all $\delta \Psi$ if the divergence in the integrand is everywhere zero. 1566 1567 For the third integral around ∂D , two choices exist. One choice would be to set $(\nabla \Psi + \hat{k} \times H\vec{U}_{(0)}) \cdot \hat{n}$ to zero along ∂D . This condition 1568 would constrain the circulation around the domain. The other 1569 choice is to provide Dirichlet BCs to the problem for $\tilde{\Psi}$, which, in 1570 turn, limits the variations $\delta\Psi$ to those that vanish along the bound-1571 ary ($\delta \Psi|_{\partial D} = 0$). Dirichlet BCs provide a pathway for incorporating 1572 information on the transports into and out of the domain. Such 1573 1574 information is an important addition to reduced physics initializa-1575 tions (e.g. geostrophy), providing constraints on the external forcing 1576 applied to the domain. To summarize, the second integrand is set to 1577 zero along with Dirichlet BCs.

D.2. Evaluating exterior boundary cost function, J_{b^e} , for variations 1578 1579 around Ψ_{h^e}

1580 We separate Eq. (6) into a series of integrals along the open 1581 boundaries and a series of integrals along the coasts. We introduce the set of M^e labels for the M^e external coasts $\{C_m^e\}$. The 1582

corresponding set of M^e open boundary segments go from one 1583 external coast to the next. They are defined such that the m^{th} open 1584 boundary segment starts at external coast C_m^e and ends at external 1585 coast C_{m+1}^{e} or C_{1}^{e} if $m = M^{e}$. To denote this, we use the notation $C_{\tilde{m}}^{e}$. 1586 J_{b^e} is then rewritten in terms of the open and coastal contributions:

$$J_{b^{e}}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{b^{e}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \int_{C_{m}^{e}}^{C_{m}^{e}} \omega \left(\underbrace{\widetilde{\Psi}_{b^{e}}}{\partial s} + H\vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right)^{2} ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \int_{C_{m}^{e}} \omega \left(H\vec{U}_{(0)} \cdot \hat{n} \right)^{2} ds$$
(D.3)

where the +/- notation in C_m^{e+} were defined just after Eq. (8). The first series of integrals contains the contributions from the open sections of ∂D^e while the second contains the contributions from the external coasts. Variational calculus results in an equation different from, but similar to, (D.2): \bigcirc

$$J_{b^{e}}(\Psi_{b^{e}} + \delta\Psi_{b^{e}}) = J_{b^{e}}(\Psi_{b^{e}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e}}^{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e}} \omega \left(\frac{\partial \delta\Psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s}\right)^{2} ds$$

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e}}^{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e}} \left\{ \overline{\partial S} \right\}^{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \Phi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s} + H \overline{U}_{(0)} \cdot \widehat{n} \right\} ds$$

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \left[\omega \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s} + H \overline{U}_{(0)} \cdot \widehat{n} \right) \right] ds$$

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{M^{e}} \left[\omega \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{b^{e}}}{\partial s} + H \overline{U}_{(0)} \cdot \widehat{n} \right) \right] \Big|_{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e^{+}}}^{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e^{+}}} (\delta\Psi_{b^{e}})|_{\mathbb{C}_{m}^{e}}.$$

$$(D.4) \qquad 1598$$



Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

25

1587 1588

1591 1592 1593

1594

1595 1596

1610

1646

1653

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

1599 Here the contributions from the external coasts are all contained in 1600 $J_{b^{e}}(\Psi_{b^{e}})$, leaving only the open boundaries (the 3 series) affected by 1601 the variations $\delta \Psi_{h^e}$. Ψ_{h^e} is guaranteed to minimize Eq. (6) if the last two series in Eq. (D.4) are zero for all permissible $\delta \Psi_{b^e}$, resulting in 1602 1603 Eq. (7) and (8).

D.3. Deriving cost function, J_{b^u} , for optimizing Ψ along uncertain 1604 coasts. C^{iu} 1605

The optimization functional, $J_{b^{\mu}}$, is constructed as the sum of 1606 three terms: 1607 1608

$$J_{b^{u}}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}}\right) = J_{b^{u}}^{uu}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}}\right) + J_{b^{u}}^{uc}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}}\right) + J_{b^{u}}^{uo}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}}\right)$$
(D.5)

where $J_{\mu\nu}^{uu}$ is the optimizing functional for the transport between all 1611 pairs of the uncertain coasts, $J_{h^u}^{uc}$ is the optimizing functional for the 1612 transport between all pairs of uncertain and certain coasts and $J_{h^u}^{uo}$ is 1613 the optimizing functional for the transport between each of the 1614 uncertain coasts and the open boundaries of the domain 1615 (Fig. D.13), V(e) troduce the superscript notation *uu* for functionals 1616 and quantities evaluated between pairs of uncertain coasts, uc 1617 between uncertain and certain coasts and uo between uncertain 1618 coasts and the open boundaries. The three terms in Eq. (D.5) are 1619 constructed as follows: 1620

1. Constructing $J_{b^u}^{uu}$: Let C_n^{iu} and C_m^{iu} be two of the coasts in ∂D^{iu} . $\Psi_{(0)}$ 1621 is not constrained to be a constant along these coasts. Denoting 1622 a point s on C_m^{iu} by $s_{iu,m}$, we find the points s_{nm}^{uu} and s_{mn}^{uu} which 1623 minimize the transport (as estimated by $\Psi_{(0)}$) between the 1624 islands: 1625 1626

1628
$$[s_{nm}^{uu}, s_{mn}^{uu}] = \arg\min_{[s_{iu,n}, s_{iu,m}]} | \Psi_{(0)}(s_{iu,n}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{iu,m}) |$$

(i.e. s_{nm}^{uu} is the point along C_n^{iu} which minimizes the difference in 1629 $\Psi_{(0)}$ between C_n^{iu} and C_m^{iu}). Then, denoting $\Delta_{nm}^{uu}\Psi_{(0)} =$ 1630 $\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nm}^{uu}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{mn}^{uu})$, the optimization functional for the trans-1631 port between islands *n* and *m* is chosen to be $\varpi_{nm}^{uu}(\Psi_{C_n^{uu}})$ 1632 $\Psi_{C_m^{iu}} - \Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)})^2$ where $\Psi_{C_n^{iu}}$, $\Psi_{C_m^{iu}}$ are the unknown optimized 1633 (constant) values of the transport streamfunction along coasts 1634 *n* and *m* respectively. ϖ_{nm}^{uu} is a weight applied to the inter-island 1635 transport difference in the optimization. The weights are chosen 1636 to emphasize the transports between adjacent islands over the 1637 transports between widely separated islands (e.g. in Fig. D.1, 1638 the transport between islands 2 and 3 will be much more heavily 1639 weighted than the transport between islands 1 and 3). The 1640 1641 details of the weighting function are presented in 1642 Section 3.2.1. Summing these weighted differences over all distinct pairs of islands (and pre-multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$) results in: 1643 1644

$$J_{b^{u}}^{uu} \left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{iu}} \sum_{m=n+1}^{N^{iu}} \left[\varpi_{nm}^{uu} \left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}} - \Psi_{C_{m}^{iu}} - \Delta_{nm}^{uu} \Psi_{(0)} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(D.6)

2. Constructing $J_{b^u}^{uc}$: Let C_k^c be one of the coasts in $\partial \mathcal{D}^c$, $\Psi_{C_k^c}$ be the 1647 certain (constant) value of Ψ along C_k^c and C_n^{iu} be a coast in 1648 $\partial \mathcal{D}^{iu}$. Find the point s_{nk}^{uc} on C_n^{iu} which minimizes the transport 1649 (as estimated by $\Psi_{(0)}$) between the island and certain coast: 1650 1651

$$s_{nk}^{uc} = \arg\min | \Psi_{(0)}(s_{iu,n}) - \Psi_{C_k^c}|$$

and define $\Delta_{nk}^{uc} \Psi_{(0)} = \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc}) - \Psi_{C_{k}^{c}}$. The optimization functional 1654 for the transport between island n and coast k is chosen to be 1655 $\varpi_{nk}^{uc}(\Psi_{C_n^{iu}} - \Psi_{C_k^c} - \Delta_{nk}^{uc}\Psi_{(0)})^2 = \varpi_{nk}^{uc}(\Psi_{C_n^{iu}} - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc}))^2$. Here the certain value $\Psi_{C_k^c}$ cancels out. One side effect of this cancellation is 1656 1657 that this functional provides a mechanism for the constant of 1658 integration selected in constructing Ψ_b to enter into the opti-1659 mization (while $J_{b^{\mu}}^{uu}$ retains only differences of $\Psi_{(0)}$). As before, 1660 the transport differences are weighted by ϖ_{nk}^{uc} . Summing these 1661 weighted differences over all pairs of islands and coasts (and 1662 pre-multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$) results in: 1663 1664

$$J_{b^{u}}^{uc} \left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}}, \dots, \Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{uu}} \sum_{k=1}^{M^{c}} \left[\varpi_{nk}^{uc} \left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}} - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nk}^{uc}) \right)^{2} \right]$$
(D.7) 1666

3. Constructing $J_{b^u}^{uo}$: Let $s_{o,b}$ be a point along the open boundary, $\partial \mathcal{D}^{o}$. Find s_{nb}^{uo} on C_{n}^{iu} and s_{bn}^{ou} on $\partial \mathcal{D}^{o}$ which minimizes the transport (as estimated by $\Psi_{(0)}$) between the island and open boundary:

$$[s_{nb}^{uo}, s_{bn}^{ou}] = \arg\min_{[s_{iu,n}, s_{o,b}]} | \Psi_{(0)}(s_{iu,n}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{o,b}) |$$
1673

Then, defining $\Delta_{nb}^{uo}\Psi_{(0)} = \Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo}) - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{bn}^{ou})$, the optimization 1674 functional for the transport between the island n and the open 1675 boundary is chosen to be $\varpi_{nb}^{uo}(\Psi_{C_n^{iu}} - \Psi_{(0)}(s_{bn}^{ou}) - \Delta_{nb}^{uo}\Psi_{(0)})^2 =$ 1676 $\pi_{nb}^{uo}(\Psi_{C^{iu}}-\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo}))^2$. As above, the transport difference is 1677 weighted by \overline{w}_{nb}^{uo} and the known value of Ψ along the boundary 1678 cancels (providing a second path for information on the constant of integration). Summing these weighted differences over all islands (and pre-multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$) results in:

$$\Psi_{b^{u}}^{uo}\left(\Psi_{C_{1}^{iu}},\ldots,\Psi_{C_{N^{iu}}^{iu}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N^{iu}} \left[\varpi_{nb}^{uo}\left(\Psi_{C_{n}^{iu}}-\Psi_{(0)}(s_{nb}^{uo})\right)^{2}\right] \quad (D.8)$$

These expressions for $J_{b^{u}}^{uu}$, $J_{b^{u}}^{uc}$ and $J_{b^{u}}^{uo}$ are substituted into Eq. (D.5), resulting in Eq. (14). $I_{b^{u}}^{uc}$ and $I_{b^{u}}^{uo}$ provide a pathway for the absolute value of Ψ_{b^e} (i.e. the constant of integration) to be included in the optimized $\Psi_{{\cal C}^{\rm iu}}$, since they are formulated directly in terms of the $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$'s. In contrast, the formulation of $J_{b^u}^{uu}$ in terms of differences between the $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$'s provides the algorithm robustness to non-localized changes from imposing the $\Psi_{C^{iu}}$ (i.e. the values along C^{iu} are allowed to "float" with the changes).

References

- garwal, A., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2011. Statistical field estimation for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell. 40 (2), 164-189.
- Agarwal, A., 2009. Statistical Field Estimation and Scale Estimation for Complex Coastal Regions and Archipelagos (Master's thesis). Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Antonov, J.I., Locarnini, R.A., Boyer, T.P., Mishonov, A.V., Garcia, H.E., 2006. In: Levitus, S. (Ed.), World Ocean Atlas 2005, Salinity. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 62, vol. 2. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Artale, V., Calmanti, S., Carillo, A., Dell Aquila, A., Herrmann, M., Pisacane, G., Ruti, P.M., Sannino, G., Struglia, M.V., Giorgi, F., Bi, X., Pal, J.S., Rauscher, S., 2010. An atmosphere-ocean regional climate model for the Mediterranean area: assessment of a present climate simulation. Clim. Dyn. 35 (5), 721-740.

Balmaseda, M., Anderson, D., 2009. Impact of initialization strategies and observations on seasonal forecast skill. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36 (1), L01701

- Balmaseda, M.A., Vidard, A., Anderson, D.L., 2008. The ECMWF ocean analysis system: ORA-S3. Mon. Weather Rev. 136 (8), 3018-3034.
- Barth, A., Alvera-Azcrate, A., Weisberg, R.H., 2008. Benefit of nesting a regional model into a large-scale ocean model instead of climatology. Application to the West Florida Shelf. Cont. Shelf Res. 28 (4-5), 561-573.
- Bender, M.A., Ginis, I., 2000. Real-case simulations of hurricane-ocean interaction using a high-resolution coupled model: effects on hurricane intensity. Mon. Weather Rev. 128 (4), 917-946.

1667

1668

1669

1670 1671

1684 1685

1690 1691 1692

1693 1694

1695 1696

1697 1698

1699 1700

1701

1702 1703

1704

1705 1706

1707 1708

1709 1710

1711

1712 1713

1714 1715

1716

1717

1725

1726

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1800

1801

1802

27

1803

1804

1805

1806

1807

1808

1809

1810

1811

1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

1817

1818

1819

1820

1821

1822 1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

1828

1829

1830

1831

1832

1833

1834

1835

1836

1837

1838

1839

1840

1841

1842

1843

1844

1845

1846

1847

1848

1849

1850

1851

1852

1853

1854

1855

1856

1857

1858

1859

1860

1861

1862

1863

1864

1865

1866

1867

1868

1869

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

P.J. Haley Jr. et al. / Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

- 1718 Bennett, A.F., 1992. Inverse Methods in Physical Oceanography. Cambridge 1719 University Press. 1720
 - Bennett, A.F., 2002. Inverse Modeling of the Ocean and Atmosphere. Cambridge University Press.
- 1722 Beşiktepe, Ş.T., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Robinson, A.R., 2003. Coupled physical and 1723 biogeochemical data-driven simulations of Massachusetts Bay in late summer: 1724 real-time and postcruise data assimilation. J. Mar. Syst. 40-41, 171-212.
 - Bleck, R., 2002. An oceanic general circulation model framed in hybrid isopycnic-Cartesian coordinates. Ocean Modell. 4 (1), 55-88.
- 1727 Boyer, T.P., Stephens, C., Antonov, J.I., Conkright, M.E., Locarnini, R.A., O'Brien, T.D., 1728 Garcia, H.E., 2002. In: Levitus, S. (Ed.), World Ocean Atlas 2001, Salinity. NOAA 1729 Atlas NESDIS 50. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- 1730 Cazes-Boezio, G., Menemenlis, D., Mechoso, C.R., 2008. Impact of ECCO ocean-state 1731 estimates on the initialization of seasonal climate forecasts. J. Clim. 21 (9), 1732 1929-1947.
- 1733 Chavanne, C., Flament, P., Gurgel, K.-W., 2007. Observations of vortices and vortex 1734 rossby waves in the lee of an island. In: 18th Congres Francais de Mecanique. 1735 URL <http://hdl.handle.net/2042/16729
- 1736 Colin, M., Duda, T., te Raa, L., van Zon, T., Haley, P., Lermusiaux, P., Leslie, W., 1737 Mirabito, C., Lam, F., Newhall, A., Lin, Y.-T., Lynch, J., 2013. Time-evolving 1738 acoustic propagation modeling in a complex ocean environment. In CCANS -1739 Bergen, 2013 MTS/IEEE. pp. 1-9.
- 1740 Cossarini, G., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Solidoro, C., 2009. The lagoon of venice stem: 1741 seasonal dynamics and environmental guidance with uncertainty analyses and 1742 error subspace data assimilation. J. Geophys. Res. 114, C0626.
- 1743 Cushman-Roisin, B., Beckers, J.-M., 2010. Introduction to Geophysical Fluid 1744 Dynamics: Physical and Numerical Aspects. Academic Press.
- 1745 Deleersnijder, E., Legat, V., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2010. Multi-scale modelling of coastal, 1746 shelf and global ocean dynamics. Ocean Dyn. 60 (6), 1357-1359.
- 1747 Denaro, F.M., 2003. On the application of the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition in 1748 projection methods for incompressible flows with general boundary conditions. 1749 Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids 43 (1), 43-69.
- 1750 Dijkstra, H.A., Katsman, C.A., 1997. Temporal variability of the wind-driven quasi-1751 geostrophic double gyre ocean circulation: basic bifurcation diagrams. Geophys. 1752 Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 85 (3-4), 195-232.
- 1753 Egbert, G.D., Erofeeva, S.Y., 2002. Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean 1754 tides. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol. 19 (2), 183-204.
- 1755 Egbert, G.D., Erofeeva, S.Y., 2013. TPXO8-ATLAS. URL http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/ 1756 tides/tpxo8atlas.html>
- 1757 Falkovich, A., Ginis, I., Lord, S., 2005. Ocean data assimilation and initialization 1758 procedure for the coupled GFDL/URI hurricane prediction system. J. Atmos. 1759 Oceanic Technol. 22 (12), 1918-1932.
- 1760 Firing, J., Brainard, R.E., 2004. Ten years of shipboard ADCP measurements along the 1761 northwestern Hawaiian Islands. Tech. rep., Third Scientific Symposium, 1762 Honolulu
- 1763 Gangopadhyay, A., Robinson, A.R., Haley Jr., P.J., Leslie, W.G., Lozano, C.J., Bisagni, J.J., 1764 Yu, Z., 2003. Feature oriented regional modeling and simulations (FORMS) in 1765 the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank. Cont. Shelf Res. 23 (3-4), 317-353.
- 1766 Gangopadhyay, A., Lermusiaux, P.F., Rosenfeld, L., Robinson, A.R., Calado, L., Kim, 1767 H.S., Leslie, W.G., Haley Jr., P.J., 2011. The California current system: a multiscale 1768 overview and the development of a feature-oriented regional modeling system 1769 (FORMS). Dyn. Atmos. Oceans 52 (1-2), 131-169, special issue of DAO in honor 1770 of Prof. A.R.Robinson.
- 1771 Gangopadhyay, A., Schmidt, A., Agel, L., Schofield, O., Clark, J., 2013. Multiscale 1772 forecasting in the Western North Atlantic: sensitivity of model forecast skill to 1773 glider data assimilation. Cont. Shelf Res. 63, S159-S176.
- 1774 Gawarkiewicz, G., Jan, S., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., McClean, J.L., Centurioni, L., Taylor, K., 1775 Cornuelle, B., Duda, T.F., Wang, J., Yang, Y.J., Sanford, T., Lien, R.-C., Lee, C., Lee, M.-A., Leslie, W., Haley Jr., P.J., Niiler, P.P., Gopalakrishnan, G., Velez-Belchi, P., 1776 1777 Lee, D.-K., Kim, Y.Y., 2011. Circulation and intrusions northeast of Taiwan: 1778 chasing and predicting uncertainty in the cold dome. Oceanography 24 (4), 1779 110 - 121
- 1780 Godfrey, J.S., 1989. A Sverdrup model of the depth-integrated flow for the world 1781 ocean allowing for island circulations. Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 45 (1-2), 1782 89-112. 1783
 - Gordon, A.L., Villanoy, C.L., 2011. Oceanography. Special issue on the Philippine Straits Dynamics Experiment. Vol. 24. The Oceanography Society.
 - Gordon, A.L., Sprintall, J., Ffield, A., 2011. Regional oceanography of the Philippine Archipelago. Oceanography 24 (1), 15-27.
 - Haley Jr., P.J., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2010. Multiscale two-way embedding schemes for free-surface primitive equations in the multidisciplinary simulation, estimation and assimilation system. Ocean Dvn. 60 (6), 1497-1537.
- 1790 Haley, P.J., Anderson, L., Leslie, W., resp. An interdisciplinary ocean prediction system: Assimilation strategies tructured data models. In: Malanotte-1791 1792 Rizzoli, P. (Ed.), Modern Approaches to Data Assimilation in Ocean Modeling, 1793 Elsevier Oceanography Series, vol. 61. Elsevier, pp. 413–452. Haley Jr., P.J., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Robinson, A.R., Leslie, W.G., Logoutov, O., Cossarini,
- 1794 1795 G., Liang, X.S., Moreno, P., Ramp, S.R., Doyle, J.D., Bellingham, J., Ch Johnston, S., 2009. Forecasting and reanalysis in the monterey bay/C 1796 1797 current region for the autonomous ocean sampling network-II experiment. 1798 Deep Sea Res. II 56 (3-5), 127-148. 1799
 - Haley, Jr., P.J., Agarwal, A., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2014. Deriving a methodology for optimizing velocities and transports in complex coastal regions and archipelagos. MSEAS Report 19, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.

(2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.005

- Halliwell Jr., G.R., Shay, L.K., Jacob, S.D., Smedstad, O.M., Uhlhorn, E.W., 2008. Improving ocean model initialization for coupled tropical cyclone forecast models using GODAE nowcasts. Mon. Weather Rev. 136 (7), 2576-2591.
- Halliwell Jr., G.R., Shay, L.K., Brewster, J.K., Teague, W.J., 2011. Evaluation and sensitivity analysis of an ocean model response to hurricane Ivan. Mon. Weather Rev. 139 (3), 921-945.
- Herzfeld, M., Andrewartha, J.R., 2012. A simple, stable and accurate Dirichlet open boundary condition for ocean model downscaling. Ocean Modell. 43-44, 1-21.
- Hurlburt, H.E., Metzger, E.J., Sprintall, J., Riedlinger, S.N., Arnone, R.A., Shinoda, T., Xu, X., 2011. Circulation in the Philippine Archipelago simulated by 1/12° and 1/ 25° global HYCOM and EAS NCOM. Oceanography 24 (1), 28-47.
- Jiang, X., Zhong, Z., Jiang, J., 2009. Upper ocean response of the South China Sea to typhoon Krovanh (2003). Dyn. Atmos. Oceans 47 (1), 165-175.
- Leben, R.R., Born, G.H., Engebreth, B.R., 2002. Operational altimeter data processing for mesoscale monitoring. Mar. Geod. 25 (1-2), 3-18.
- Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2006. Uncertainty estimation and prediction for interdisciplinary ocean dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 217 (1), 176-199.
- Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2007. Adaptive modeling, adaptive data assimilation and adaptive sampling. Phys. D: Nonlinear Phen. 230 (1), 172-196.

musiaux, P.F.J., 2007. Adaptive modeling, adaptive data assimilation and adaptive sampling. Physica D 230 (1–2), 172–196. Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Haley Jr, P.J., Yilmaz, N.K., 2007. Envir

- planning and adaptive sampling-sensing and modering for efficient ocean monitoring, management and pollution control. Sea Technol. 48 (9), 35-38.
- Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Haley, P.J., Leslie, W.G., Agarwal, A., Logutov, O., Burton, L., 2011. Multiscale physical and biological dynamics in the Philippines Archipelago: predictions and processes. Oceanography 24 (1), 70-89.
- Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Schröter, J., Danilov, S., Iskandarani, M., Pinardi, N., Westerink, J.J., 2013. Multiscale modeling of coastal, shelf, and global ocean dynamics. Ocean Dyn. 63 (11-12), 1341-1344.
- Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Lolla, T., Haley, P.J., Yiğit, K., Ueckermann, M.P., Sondergaard, T., adaptive sampling for the sampling for t Handbook of Ocean Engineering: Autonomous Ocean Vehicles, Subsystems and Control. Springer-Verlag (Ch. 11). Leslie, W.G., Robinson, A.R., Haley, P., Control, O., Moreno, P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J.,
- Coehlo, E., 2008. Verification and training of real-time forecasting of multi-scale ocean dynamics for maritime rapid environmental assessment. J. Mar. Syst. 69 (1-2), 3-16.
- Li, Z., Chao, Y., McWilliams, J.C., 2006. Computation of the streamfunction and velocity potential for limited and irregular domains. Mon. Weather Rev. 134 (11), 3384-3394.
- Locarnini, R.A., Mishonov, A.V., Antonov, J.I., Boyer, T.P., Garcia, H.E., 2006. In: Levitus, S. (Ed.), World Ocean Atlas 2005, Temperature. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 61, vol. 1. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Logutov, O.G., 2008. A multigrid methodology for assimilation of measurements into regional tidal models. Ocean Dyn. 58 (5-6), 441-460.
- Logutov, O.G., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2008. Inverse barotropic tidal estimation for regional ocean applications. Ocean Modell. 25 (1-2), 17-34.
- Lolla, T., Ueckermann, M.P., Yiğit, K., Haley, P.J., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2012. Path planning in time dependent flow fields using level set methods. In: IEEE
- International Conference on Robotics and Autophysics (ICRA), pp. 166–173. Lolla, T., Haley Jr., P.J., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2014. Upportional path planning in dynamic flows using level set equations: realistic applications. Ocean Dyn. 64 (10), 1399–1417.
- Lolla, T., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Ueckermann, M.P., Haley Jr., P.J., 2014. path planning in dynamic flows using level set equations: theory methods. hemes. Ocean Dvn. 64 (10), 1373-1397.

Lorenz, E.N., 1963. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. J. Atmos. Sci. 20 (2), 130-141.

- Lozier, M.S., Owens, W.B., Curry, R.G., 1995. The climatology of the North Atlantic. Prog. Oceanogr. 36 (1), 1–44.
- Lynch, P., 1989. Partitioning the wind in a limited domain. Mon. Weather Rev. 117 (7), 1492 - 1500.
- Marshall, J., Plumb, R.A., 2008. Atmosphere, Ocean and Climate Dynamics: An Introductory Text, Elsevier Academic Press, London, United Kingdom,
- Maslowski, W., Marble, D., Walczowski, W., Schauer, U., Clement, J.L., Semtner, A.J., 2004. On climatological mass, heat, and salt transports through the Barents Sea and Fram Strait from a pan-Arctic coupled ice-ocean model simulation. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 109 (C3), C03032.
- Mason, E., Molemaker, J., Shchepetkin, A.F., Colas, F., McWilliams, J.C., Sangrà, P., 2010. Procedures for offline grid nesting in regional ocean models. Ocean Modell. 35 (1-2), 1-15.
- May, P.W., Doyle, J.D., Pullen, J.D., David, L.T., 2011. Two-way coupled atmosphereocean modeling of the PhilEx intensive observational periods. Oceanography 24 (1), 48-57.
- Moore, A.M., 1991. Data assimilation in a quasi-geostrophic open-ocean model of the Gulf Stream region using the adjoint method. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 21 (3), 398-427.
- Moore, A.M., Arango, H.G., Di Lorenzo, E., Cornuelle, B.D., Miller, A.J., Neilson, D.J., 2004. A comprehensive ocean prediction and analysis system based on the tangent linear and adjoint of a regional ocean model. Ocean Modell. 7 (1), 227-258.
- Moore, A.M., Arango, H.G., Broquet, G., Powell, B.S., Weaver, A.T., Zavala-Garay, J., 2011. The regional ocean modeling system (roms) 4-dimensional variational data assimilation systems: Part I - System overview and formulation. Prog. Oceanogr. 91 (1), 34-49.

Please cite this article in press as: Haley Jr., P.J., et al. Optimizing velocities and transports for complex coastal regions and archipelagos. Ocean Modell.

OCEMOD 958 11 March 2015

ARTICLE IN PRESS

28

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927

1928

P.J. Haley Jr. et al./Ocean Modelling xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

- MSEASgroup, 2010. MSEAS manual. MSEAS Report 6, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Oke, P.R., Allen, J.S., Miller, R.N., Egbert, G.D., Kosro, P.M., 2002. Assimilation of surface velocity data into a primitive equation coastal ocean model. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 107 (C9), 5-1–5-25.
- Onken, R., Álvarez, A., Fernández, V., Vizoso, G., Basterretxea, G., Tintoré, J., Haley Jr., P., Nacini, E., 2008. A forecast experiment in the Balearic Sea. J. Mar. Syst. 71 (1– 2), 79–98.
- Phadnis, A., 2013. Uncertainty quantification and prediction for non-autonomous linear and nonlinear systems. Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
- Pinardi, N., Allen, I., Demirov, E., Mey, P.D., Korres, G., Lascaratos, A., Traon, P.-Y.L., Maillard, C., Manzella, G., Tziavos, C., 2003. The Mediterranean ocean forecasting system: first phase of implementation (1998–2001). Ann. Geophys. 21 (1), 3–20.
- Qiu, B., Koh, D.A., Lumpkin, C., Flament, P., 1997. Existence and formation mechanism of the North Hawaiian ridge current. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 27 (3), 431–444.
- Ramp, S., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Shulman, I., Chao, Y., Wolf, R.E., Bahr, F.L., 2011. Oceanographic and atmospheric conditions on the continental shelf north of the Monterey Bay during August 2006. Dyn. Atmos. Oceans 52 (1–2), 192–223.
- Robinson, A., 1996. Physical processes, field estimation and an approach to interdisciplinary ocean modeling. Earth-Sci. Rev. 40 (1–2), 3–54.
- Robinson, A.R., 1999. Forecasting and simulating coastal ocean processes and variabilities with the Harvard ocean prediction system. In: Mooers, C.N.K. (Ed.), Coastal Ocean Prediction, Coastal and Estuarine Studies, vol. 56. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp. 77–99.
- Sandery, P.A., Brassington, G.B., Freeman, J., 2011. Adaptive nonlinear dynamical initialization. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans (1978–2012) 116 (1), C01021.
- Sapsis, T.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2009. Dynamically orthogonal field equations for continuous stochastic dynamical systems. Physica D 238 (23–24), 2347–2360.
 Sapsis, T.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2012. Dynamical criteria for the evolution of the
 - stochastic dimensionality in flows with uncertainty. Physica D 241 (1), 60–76. Sapsis, T.P., Ueckermann, M.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2013. Global analysis of Navier– Stokes and Boussinesq stochastic flows using dynamical orthogonality. J. Fluid
 - Mech. 734, 83–113. Schiller, A., Oke, P.R., Brassington, G., Entel, M., Fiedler, R., Griffin, D.A., Mansbridge,
 - J., 2008. Eddy-resolving ocean circulation in the Asian-Australian region inferred from an ocean reanalysis effort. Prog. Oceanogr. 76 (3), 334–365.
 - Schmidt, A., Gangopadhyay, A., 2013. An operational ocean circulation prediction system for the western North Atlantic: hindcasting during July–September of 2006. Cont. Shelf Res. 63, S177–S192.

- Sethian, J.A., 1996. A fast marching level set method for monotonically advancing fronts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 93 (4), 1591–1595.
- Sethian, J.A., 1999. Level Set Methods and Fast Marching Method. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Simonnet, E., Dijkstra, H.A., Ghil, M., 2009. Bifurcation analysis of ocean, atmosphere, and climate models. In: Temam, R., Tribbia, J., Ciarlet, P.G. (Eds.), Computational Methods for the Ocean and the Atmosphere, Handbook of Numerical Analysis. Elsevier, pp. 187, 2013.
 Sondergaard, T., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2013.
- Sondergaard, T., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2013, Land assimilation with Gaussian mixture models using the dynamically orthogonal field equations. Part I: Theory and scheme. Mon. Weather Rev. 141 (6), 1737–1760.
- Sondergaard, T., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2013, models using the dynamically orthogoal eld equations. Part II: Applications. Mon. Weather Rev. 141 (6), 1761–178-
- Stephens, C., Antonov, J.I., Boyer, T.P., Conkright, M.E., Locarnini, R.A., O'Brien, T.D., Garcia, H.E., 2002. In: Levitus, S. (Ed.), World Ocean Atlas 2001, Temperature. NOAA Adda NEDIS 40, vol. 1, US Compensate Decision of Gene Workshop DC.
- NOAA Atlas NESDIS 49, vol. 1. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC. Timmermann, R., Goosse, H., Madec, G., Fichefet, T., Ethe, C., Duliere, V., 2005. On the representation of high latitude processes in the ORCA-LIM global coupled sea
- ice-ocean model. Ocean Modell. 8 (1), 175–201. Ueckermann, M.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., 2010. High order schemes for 2D unsteady biogeochemical ocean models. Ocean Dyn. 60 (6), 1415–1445.
- Ueckermann, M.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., submitted for publication. Hybrid discontinuous Galerkin methods for Boussinesq flows. Ueckermann, M.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Sapsis, T.P., 2013.
- Ueckermann, M.P., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Sapsis, T.P., 2013. June ical schemes for dynamically orthogonal equations of stochastic fluid and ocean flows. J. Comput. Phys. 233, 272–294.
- Wunsch, C., 1996. The Ocean Circulation Inverse Problem. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Xu, J., Lermusiaux, P.F.J., Haley, P.J., Leslie, W.G., Logoutov, O.G., 2008. Spatial and temporal variations in acoustic propagation during the PLUSNet07 exercise in Dabob Bay. In: Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics (POMA), 155th Meeting Acoustical Society of America 4, p. 070001.
- Yablonsky, R.M., Ginis, I., 2008. Improving the ocean initialization of coupled hurricane-ocean models using feature-based data assimilation. Mon. Weather Rev. 136 (7), 2592–2607.
- Zhang, J., Steele, M., 2007. Effect of vertical mixing on the Atlantic water layer circulation in the Arctic Ocean. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans (1978–2012) 112 (4).

1965 1966 1967

1929

1930

1931

1932

1933

1934

1935

1936

1937

1938

1939

1940

1941

1942

1943 1944

1945 1946

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

1962

1963

1964