Impact of River Inputs on Sound Speed Structures in the Bay of Bengal


Abstract—The Bay of Bengal (BoB) exhibits a distinctive pattern of surface freshening primarily resulting from runoff originating from several major rivers and the monsoon precipitation. This freshening significantly modulates the spatial and temporal variations in the thermohaline structure, ultimately shaping the sound speed structure within this region. This study investigates the seasonal impact of river input on the sound speed structure of the BoB through two numerical simulations with and without river input using the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS). The findings indicate that river inputs consistently reduce the surface sound speed across the domain throughout the year, with the most noticeable effect occurring in the northern part of BoB during the post-monsoon months of October and November. During this period, the surface variability is predominantly driven by salinity variations induced by river inputs. In contrast, in the subsurface layers, the influence of reduced salinity becomes less pronounced with increasing depth, and the temperature modulations brought about by river inputs play a more important role. Freshening in the surface layers leads to the creation of a stratified barrier layer just below the mixed layer. Consequently, this results in the formation of warm temperature inversions in the subsurface layers, with cooling occurring beneath them. These phenomena contribute to variations in the sound speed, causing it to increase within the inversion layer and decrease below it. Notably, the sonic layer depth (SLD) is found to become shallower in the presence of river inputs during the post-monsoon and winter seasons in the northern BoB. The combination of enhanced vertical salinity gradients and subsurface temperature inversions significantly amplifies the vertical gradient of sound speed above the SLD. This, in turn, may lead to the development of more robust surface ducts and the expansion of shadow zones beneath the SLD.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the underwater acoustic environment is crucial for various scientific and strategic applications, ranging from oceanographic research to naval operations. Sound speed is a critical factor in acoustic propagation that is used for underwater communication, sonar systems, and monitoring of ocean interiors and processes. Sound speed within the ocean depends on three major parameters - temperature, salinity, and pressure [1]-[3]. Therefore, the distribution of sound speed in the ocean varies within a region with seasons and with changes in the thermohaline structures due to water-mass circulation. While temperature generally has a significantly greater impact [4] on sound speed variability than salinity, the latter becomes crucial in regions of high salinity contrast [5]. The Bay of Bengal (BoB) (Fig. 1) is one such region exhibiting large spatiotemporal contrasts in salinity. This is attributed to the vast influx of freshwater from the monsoon rain and the runoff from several rivers in the surrounding countries [6-14]. The massive runoff from the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna (GBM) River system, coupled with other rivers makes the northern BoB the most freshwater-rich area of the tropical global ocean. This freshwater spreads over the BoB in the form of freshwater pools, plumes, and filaments. These features can greatly influence the thermohaline structure through the formation of haline stratification, barrier layer, and temperature inversion [11], [13], [15]-[18], all of which modify the sound speed structure.

There are a few studies in the literature that addressed the sound speed structure and variability in the BoB. In one such study, through a comparative analysis, [19] showed that the spatial variation of sound speed in BoB is lesser than in the Arabian Sea (AS). In [20], the authors showed the effect of cold-core eddies on acoustic propagation in the BoB. Using observational data along the west coast of Andaman Island, [21] found that the sound speed gradient showed better agreement with the temperature gradient than the salinity gradient. Using Glider data [22] highlighted the formation of afternoon effects in the sound speed profile and of a secondary sound channel in the central BoB. In [23], the authors identified the role of the salinity variability due to river discharge in acoustic reflection. They studied seismic data near the Krishna-Godavari River mouth and showed that the salinity effect cannot be ignored in...
modeling the acoustic reflection. Recently, using ten years of Argo data, [24] studied the upper ocean sound speed structure and variability over the BoB. They showed the domain-wide as well as region-specific variability and identified the effect of the extreme surface freshening on the higher sound speed variability in the northern BoB.

Multiple studies investigated the impact of river input on the Bay of Bengal using different numerical models [8]-[14]. These studies were mostly concerned with the effect of river runoff on the thermohaline structure and the ocean dynamics. However, the impact of rivers on the sound speed has not been studied yet.

In this study, we investigate the role of river inputs on the sound speed structure and variability over the Bay of Bengal by utilizing the modeling system set up by [12], [14]. The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the modeling system setup and method of computing sound speed. Section III presents the results of the river input impact on the sound speed structure. Section IV summarizes the study by highlighting the major findings.

In this study, we investigate the impact of river inputs on the BoB region using different numerical models [8]-[14]. These studies were mostly concerned with the effect of river runoff on the thermohaline structure and the ocean dynamics. However, the impact of rivers on the sound speed has not been studied yet.

![Fig. 1. The model domain with WOA01 climatological annual salinity (shaded background). Contours represent the model bathymetry values. Magenta points along the boundary are the location of the point sources. The dotted red box in the northern BoB represents the area to obtain averaged vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, and sound speed.](image)

**II. MODEL AND METHOD**

**A. Modeling System Setup**

In this study, we investigate the impact of river inputs on the structure and variability of the sound speed field over the BoB region using the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) [25]-[27]. The modeling system setup used is based on those of [12], [14]. The ROMS was configured over a domain (Fig. 1) that extends from 76°E to 100°E and from 4°N to 24°N, with a uniform horizontal resolution of 1/12° (~9 km) and 32 terrain-following vertical layers. River inputs are integrated into the model as point sources, situated near the river mouths on the model’s coastal boundary. The seasonal river inputs represent the average monthly discharges from ten major rivers: Krishna, Godavari, Mahanadi, Brahmani, Subarnarekha, Hooghly, Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna (GBM), Irrawaddy, Sittang, and Salween. The seasonal cycles of discharges from all these rivers were provided in Figure 2 of [12].

The monthly climatological forcing fields consist of (i) 0.25°QuickSCAT wind stress [28]; (ii) net longwave and shortwave radiations from OAFlux product Project [29], [30] acquired from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP); (iii) precipitation from CORE 2 (Coordinated Ocean Research Experiments version 2) [31] datasets; and (iv) air temperature, air density, relative humidity, and specific humidity from the 0.5° COADS monthly climatology [32]. The latent and sensible heat fluxes and evaporation were calculated within the model using the bulk formula [33]. Figure 2d shows the seasonal cycles of the river discharges from all the rivers. Extensive validations of modeling system setup and simulations were carried out on the temperature, salinity, and currents in [12].

Two parallel climatological mesoscale simulations were carried out for fifteen years: one without any river input, called No River Run (NoRR), and the other including the river inputs, called the River Run (RR). The simulated fields of the last five years were used to obtain monthly climatological fields for further analysis.

**B. Computation of Sound Speed**

The sound speed fields were computed from the model-simulated temperature and salinity fields using Medwin’s formula [1]:

\[
C = 1449.2 + 4.6T - 0.055T^2 + 0.00029T^3 + (1.34 - 0.01T)(S - 35) + 0.016Z
\]

where \(T\) is the temperature (°C), \(S\) the salinity (ppt) and \(Z\) the depth (m).

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Impact on Surface Variability**

Figure 2 compares the annual mean sound speed fields from the NIOA [34], RR, and NoRR at the surface. The RR sound speed shows good agreement with that from the climatology. On an annual scale, the sound speed is lowest at the northern end and gradually increases towards the south and southwest. The NoRR sound speed map shows a somewhat similar pattern, but the values are significantly higher than the RR field. The difference field in Fig 2(d) quantifies the impact of river input on the annual sound speed. The river input reduces the sound speed throughout the domain.

Figure 3 demonstrates the seasonal variability of the river impact on the domain-wide surface sound speed in terms of domain-averaged mean and spatial standard deviation. The spatial standard deviation quantifies the intensity/amount of the spatial variability. The seasonal variability of the domain-averaged sound speed (Fig. 3a) in both simulations follows the same pattern as that of the temperature. This indicates the dominant impact of temperature in determining the seasonal variability in domain-wide sound speed. However, the river input reduces the domain-averaged sound speed throughout the
The intensity of the sound speed reduction due to river input is the lowest during the pre-monsoon period (when the river discharge and surface freshening are minimum) and increases gradually as the monsoon progresses and freshwater supply from rivers increases and reaches to a peak in October. This is because, while during October the river runoff amount remains moderately lower than its peak value in August, the October freshwater plume spreads over the entire domain and reaches its maximum (see Fig. 10 of [12]). The river input has a paramount impact on the spatial variability (Fig. 3b) in the sound speed throughout the year except in the pre-monsoon months when the impact is comparatively less. In general, the spatial variability in sound speed is the least in May when the freshwater content is minimal and the temperature throughout the domain remains very high with substantially low spatial variability. This pattern is mostly captured in both simulations and the difference between the spatial fields is minimum. The river impact on the spatial variability (i.e., the difference field) peaks in November. In the absence of the river input, the spatial variability of sound speed in NoRR remains lower than that in RR throughout the year and reaches the minimum (standard deviation ~0.5 m/s) in November. During November, the spatial variability of temperature is minimal, and the river-input-induced freshwater plume causes the salinity variation and hence the sound speed variation. This is how the spatial variation of the surface sound speed is influenced by the river input and its spreading within the Bay due to background circulation.

Figure 4 presents the spatial maps of the RR and NoRR sound speeds and their differences for May, August, and November representing pre-monsoon, monsoon, and post-monsoon periods. During May the sound speed remained high with minimal spatial variability. The sound speed difference between the two simulations is also very small all over the domain except the coastal areas near the GBM river mouth. During August, the riverine freshwater spreads over the north and eastern parts of the domain and reduces the sound speed in the plume areas. The freshwater plume further extends over a greater/larger extent over a major portion of the domain and reduces the sound speed. These findings agree with the seasonal cycles discussed in the previous section.

B. Impact on Vertical Structure and its Variability

In this section, we present the impact of river input on the vertical structure of the sound speed in the BoB. In the surface layer, the impact of rivers on sound speed mostly occurs due to the salinity change. However, in the subsurface layers, the impact of river input is due to changes in both temperature and salinity.
salinity which eventually modulate the sound speed structure. The river impact on salinity gets diluted over depth as the increasing salinity with depth rapidly induces stronger stratification and barrier layer in the northern BoB. This leads to the formation of temperature inversion during the post-monsoon and winter seasons. Such vertically disparate processes in temperature and salinity distribution affect the vertical structure of sound speed. The vertical gradient of sound speed is important as it determines the refraction of sound rays and the formation of sound ducting above the sonic layer depth (SLD) and shadow zone below. The sonic layer depth (SLD) is a crucial parameter in underwater acoustics. It is the depth near the surface where the sound speed is maximum. Within the sonic layer, the vertical gradient of sound speed remains positive, and the sound rays refract upward and can get trapped. A result, this layer acts as a sound duct, and a shadow zone forms below the SLD. Such surface duct effects also occur in many other ocean regions, e.g. [35], [36].

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 5.** Vertical profiles of (left column) temperature, (middle column) salinity, (right column) sound speed from RR (red line) and NoRR (blue line) averaged over the box 88-92°E, 18-20°N. The solid red and blue dotted horizontal lines represent the SLDs for RR and NoRR simulations respectively.

As the utmost freshwater impact is observed in the northern BoB, we have selected a box (88-92°E, 18-20°N) there and analyzed the averaged vertical profiles of the sound speed. The box-averaged vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, and sound speed from both simulations for May, August, and January are presented in Fig. 5 (row-wise). It is evident that the vertical structure of the sound speed predominantly follows the pattern of the temperature profile. However, the low saline water in the surface layers reduces the value and enhances the vertical gradient of the sound speed. During May (Fig. 5 - top row), the freshwater impact is minimal, and the weak surface freshening slightly reduces the sound speed of the surface layers. This time, the SLDs in both simulations mostly coincide. During August (Fig. 5 – middle row), the middle of the summer monsoon, the spread of river water and monsoon precipitation results in extensive surface freshening and a strong vertical gradient in salinity leading to the formation of the barrier layer and a weak temperature inversion. The steep salinity gradient, coupled with the temperature inversion substantially enhances the vertical gradient of the sound speed profile as seen in the RR simulation. In the NoRR simulation, the monsoon precipitation alone moderately reduces the surface salinity and hence increases the vertical salinity gradient which results in moderate enhancement in sound speed gradient. Thus, the SLD in the RR simulation is slightly shallower than that in the NoRR simulation. During January (Fig. 5 – bottom row), in the NoRR simulation, the temperature and salinity remain homogeneous within the mixed layer, and the sonic layer forms with a very weak sound speed gradient due to the hydrostatic pressure. On the other hand, during January, the RR simulation exhibits a moderately positive salinity gradient and a firm temperature inversion leading to the formation of a sonic layer with a much stronger positive sound speed gradient which is favorable for strong surface ducting and wider shadow zones. This time, the RR simulation exhibits a shallower SLD than the NoRR. In all these three periods, the colder temperature in RR within the thermocline layer slightly reduces the sound speed in RR compared to NoRR which results in a small increase in the negative vertical gradient in sound speed below the SLD.

**IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

The sound speed structure and its variability play a pivotal role in understanding underwater acoustic propagation and its applications, both in scientific research and strategic endeavors. This variability is intricately linked to the thermohaline conditions within an oceanic region. In the context of the Bay of Bengal (BoB), the thermohaline variability is largely influenced by the influx of freshwater from several major rivers from the adjacent countries. Consequently, it becomes imperative to explore the impact of these river inputs on the sound speed structure within the BoB. However, the challenge arises in that the sound speed estimated from observational data cannot easily distinguish the river impact from other influences. To address this, our study utilizes a high-resolution ROMS modeling system setup to identify the impact of river inputs on the BoB sound speed structure. Two simulations were carried out, one with river inputs and another without. We derived sound speed values from model-simulated temperature and salinity data using Medwin’s formula.

We present our findings in terms of how river inputs affect the spatial and temporal variability of sound speed at the surface. In addition, we examined the seasonal variations of the vertical profiles within a defined area in the northern BoB. Our analysis unequivocally demonstrates that river inputs consistently reduce the surface sound speed levels across the domain throughout the year, with the most significant effects observed in the northern areas of the BoB during the post-monsoon period in October. Furthermore, these river inputs significantly enhance the spatial variability of the surface sound speed, peaking in November and reaching its minimum in May.
This spatial variability closely tracks the salinity variability influenced by river inputs.

In the subsurface layers, the impact of river inputs on sound speed manifests itself through changes in both salinity and temperature. As we delve into the subsurface layers, we observe an increase in salinity with depth, leading to a positive gradient that, in turn, intensifies the vertical gradient of sound speed. Because of the post-monsoon and winter seasons, in addition to the moderate salinity gradient, the presence of temperature inversion significantly enhances the sound speed gradient within the sonic layer, resulting in more pronounced surface ducting. Notably, river inputs lead to a minor cooling in the thermocline, reducing sound speed within this layer while slightly augmenting the vertical gradient of sound speed below the sonic layer depth.

In the future, our findings should be useful for coupled ocean physics and acoustic modeling and forecasting [35]-[41] in the BoB. The effects of rivers should be included in feature models [42] as well as in the extension of satellite and surface data to three dimensions in space [43], [44]. Multiresolution ocean modeling would allow capturing the complex coastal dynamics and submesoscale effects [45] and coupled ocean-weather forecasting systems would enable real-time predictions of river inputs at estuaries [46]. As storms and thus river inputs are uncertain, future studies should also consider probabilistic coupled physical-acoustical forecasting and data assimilation [35], [39], [47], [48]. The new stochastic dynamically-orthogonal acoustic equations could then be employed [49]-[52]. Such probabilistic acoustic predictions could then be utilized to guide autonomous platforms using adaptive sampling toward the most informative location [53]-[57] for capturing the effects of rivers and for efficient ocean monitoring [58],[59]. There are many societal applications in the BoB and its northern regions including sustainable ocean utilization, underwater communication, and marine protection.
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